Probing particle production mechanisms with correlations and fluctuations

Alice Ohlson (Lund University), QCD Challenges, 2-6 September 2024

Correlation functions

 $\langle \eta 0.5 \rangle$

 $\triangleleft_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}$

- Distribution of pairs of particles in angular $(\Delta \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, \Delta \eta = \eta_1 - \eta_2)$ space
- Sensitive to a wide variety of physics based on selection of particle species, momenta, etc
- Correlation function normalized to unity Same jet $C(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta) = 1$ no correlation C(Δη, Δφ) 1.5
 - > 1 correlation
 - > 1 anticorrelation



Images from Małgorzata Janik



Correlation functions of mesons



- Meson-(anti)meson correlation functions show familiar features
 - nearside peak due to (mini)jet fragmentation, resonance decays, femtoscopic correlations (for identical particle pairs)
 - awayside ridge from back-toback (mini)jets

ALICE, EPJC 77 (2017) 569 arXiv:1612.08975 [nucl-ex] 3





Correlation functions of baryons









- Baryon-antibaryon correlation functions show familiar features
- Baryon-baryon correlations show nearside dip and awayside ridge
- It appears that forming multiple baryons (or multiple antibaryons) close in phase space is strongly suppressed
- Many hypotheses (Fermi-Dirac statistics, Coulomb repulsion) were ruled out as the cause of this effect
- pΛ correlations show the same effect

ALICE, EPJC 77 (2017) 569 arXiv:1612.08975 [nucl-ex] 4





ALICE, EPJC 77 (2017) 569 Local baryon number conservation? arXiv:1612.08975 [nucl-ex]



- Is the depletion due to local conservation of baryon number?
- String hadronization models would suggest that two baryons produced in a single fragmentation should be separated by at least one antibaryon
- Production of two baryons would also be suppressed if the parton energy is small compared to the energy required to produce 2 baryons + 2 antibaryons
- However, Pythia and Phojet do not show the dip, but can produce multiple baryons close together







Per-trigger yields

trigger particle at a relative separation ($\Delta \varphi$, $\Delta \eta$)



ALICE, arXiv:2308.16706 [hep-ex] accepted by JHEP

• Per-trigger yield: Y($\Delta \varphi$, $\Delta \eta$) is the number of particles associated with the





Balance functions

 Balance functions: correlation functions indicate where balancing charges end up in $(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)$



 Example: Ξ⁻K⁺ correlations share a s-sbar pair which could come from the same string breaking

 \rightarrow but there are also Ξ^-K^+ pairs where the s-sbar is not from the same string, model these with $\Xi^{-}K^{-}$ correlations and subtract

accepted by JHEP





ALICE, arXiv:2308.16706 [hep-ex] **Balance functions** accepted by JHEP

- globally?
- "turn on"?





$\Xi \pi$ balance functions



ALICE, arXiv:2308.16706 [hep-ex] accepted by JHEP

- Pythia describes overall yields well, tuned to single-particle spectra
- Pythia, EPOS, and Herwig also get balance right

EK balance functions

ALICE, arXiv:2308.16706 [hep-ex] accepted by JHEP

- Wider NS peak in data than in Pythia \rightarrow strange quarks produced earlier? more diffusion?
- EPOS has no local conservation of strangeness, predicts flat **OS-SS** difference, in contradiction to data

Ep balance functions

ALICE, arXiv:2308.16706 [hep-ex] accepted by JHEP

- Junctions and ropes tunes of Pythia are able to get the shape of the OS-SS difference right, but overpredict overall yields
- EPOS predicts flat balance function, unsupported by data
- Herwig also does not get baryon balancing right

11

EA balance functions

ALICE, arXiv:2308.16706 [hep-ex] accepted by JHEP

 Similar observations as in EK correlations, Pythia predicts a narrower peak than observed in data

Multiplicity dependence

- No major multiplicity dependence observed

 → we don't see the "turn-on" of different particle production mechanisms at high multiplicity, for example
- More details, including integrated yields, in the paper

ALICE, arXiv:2308.16706 [hep-ex] accepted by JHEP

13

Strangeness correlations in pp, p-Pb, Pb-Pb

Correlation coefficient between net- Ξ and net-K

$$\rho_{\Delta \Xi \Delta K} = \frac{\kappa_{11}(\Xi^-, K^-) - \kappa_{11}(\Xi^-, K^+) + \text{ch.conj.}}{\sqrt{\kappa_2(\Delta \Xi) \kappa_2(\Delta K)}}$$

$$\kappa_{11}(A, B) = \langle N_A N_B \rangle - \langle N_A \rangle \langle N_B \rangle$$
$$\kappa_2(A) = \langle N_A^2 \rangle - \langle N_A \rangle^2$$

related to integral of OS-SS EK correlation function (with relative – sign)

- Smooth/monotonic trend from small to large systems
- Agreement with Thermal FIST indicates \bullet correlation over a larger volume than string-based models, ALICE, arXiv:2405.19890 [nucl-ex] No "simple picture" → both local and global conservation/correlations are important

Future prospects: balance functions with charm

- Charm qualitatively different: produced in hard scatterings, so in the string picture it is always at the end of strings
- Back-to-back structure observed, when charm is produced in the initial hard scattering in Pythia

S. Basu, P. Christiansen, AO, D. Silvermyr EPJC 81 (2021) 11 arXiv:2110.05134 [hep-ph]

Future prospects: balance functions with charm

 In minimum bias Pythia, c-cbar pairs are more likely produced through gluon splittings, appear in a nearside peak

 Balance functions are correlations that indicate where balancing charges end up in $(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)$

that we should continue to explore

- Many ideas to extend this technique further, e.g.:
 - Balance functions with charm
 - Different combinations/ratios of associated yields to probe various physics questions, e.g. strangeness production
 - 3- and 4-particle correlations

Summary

 \rightarrow general consensus that this is an interesting and powerful technique

