

## Experimental overview on heavy-flavour measurements

#### Mattia Faggin - CERN

Universität Münster International Workshop "QCD challenges from pp to AA collisions" Track: "Energy loss and transport in the medium and in small systems"

Münster (Germany) 3<sup>rd</sup> September 2024

### Heavy quarks: a unique probe for high-density QCD

2/22



pp collisions

Reference for Pb–Pb collisions

Test of pQCD calculations

- **Charm** and **beauty** quarks:  $m_c \sim 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $m_b \sim 4.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
- Produced in hard scattering processes among partons
- Ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions at the LHC: quark-gluon plasma (QGP)
  - $\circ~$  state of matter expected in the first  $\sim 10~\mu s$  after the Big Bang
  - heavy quarks experience the **full evolution** of the system

**Charm-** and **beauty- quarks dynamics** tested via **measurements** of **charm-** and **beauty- hadron production** 



**p-Pb** collisions

#### **Cold nuclear-matter effects**

 Modification of parton distribution functions (PDFs) in bound nuclei



#### Hot nuclear-matter effects

- Energy loss in the medium
- Collective motion
- Hadronization modified in QGP

#### Charm and beauty hadronization from e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> to Pb–Pb

3/22

Hadronization: a key ingredient in all collision systems!

e⁺ e⁻ ● → ● ●

- "Point-like" object interaction
- **Fragmentation** in the vacuum



#### Fragmentation

- Hard scattering:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow qq$
- Color string:  $V_{Cornell}(r) \sim \kappa r$
- New qq pairs from multiple string breaking (confinement)

р What happens in pp collisions? Track *"Hadronization of light and heavy flavour* across collision systems"



- **QGP**: complex system with **partonic d.o.f**
- Hadronization can be influenced by coalescence and strangeness enhancement

#### Coalescence

- Heavy quark recombinates with light quarks in the QGP
- Expected increase of hadrons at intermediate-low  $p_{\rm T}$
- QGP: interplay with fragmentation



### The observables in Pb–Pb collisions



### The observables in Pb–Pb collisions

5/22

#### **1** Production spectra and *R*<sub>AA</sub>

$$R_{\rm AA}(p_{\rm T}, y) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle} \cdot \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm AA}/{\rm d} p_{\rm T} {\rm d} y}{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm pp}/{\rm d} p_{\rm T} {\rm d} y}$$

#### Anisotropic flow

$$v_{\rm n}(p_{\rm T}) = \langle \cos[{\rm n}(\varphi - \Psi_{\rm n})] \rangle$$





#### Low $p_{\rm T}$

- Elastic scatterings
- Diffusion via Langevin dynamics
- nPDF and shadowing

#### Intermediate $p_{\rm T}$

• Charm- and beauty-quark hadronization

#### High p<sub>T</sub>

- Radiative *E*-loss
- Quark-mass and path length dependent *E*-loss

### $R_{AA}$ and $v_2$ compared to transport models



mfaggin@cern.ch

6/22

 $1.5 < 2\pi D_{\rm s} T_{\rm c} < 4.5 \iff \tau_{\rm charm} \simeq 3-8 \, {\rm fm}/c$ 

 TAMU: PRL 124, 042301 (2020)
 DAB-MOD: PRC 96, 064903 (2017)
 LBT: PLB 777 (2018) 255-259
 LIDO: PRC 98, 064901 (2018)
 Catania: PRC 96, 044905 (2017)

 POWLANG: EPJC 75 (2015) 3, 121
 PHSD: PRC 93, 034906 (2016)
 MC@sHQ: PRC 91, 014904 (2015)
 LGR: EPJC 80 (2020) 7, 671

2

ALI-DER-499016

12

 $2\pi D_c T_c$  at  $T_c \approx 155$  MeV



Measured *R*<sub>AA</sub> and *v*<sub>2</sub> compared to transport models to understand the relevant effects on charm-quark dynamics in QGP

• **Radiative energy loss** important to describe the results at **high**  $p_{T}$ , while it is less relevant at low  $p_{T}$ 

#### ALICE: <u>IHEP 01 (2022) 174</u>

 TAMU: PRL 124, 042301 (2020)
 DAB-MOD: PRC 96, 064903 (2017)
 LBT: PLB 777 (2018) 255-259
 LIDO: PRC 98, 064901 (2018)
 Catania: PRC 96, 044905 (2017)

 POWLANG: EPJC 75 (2015) 3, 121
 PHSD: PRC 93, 034906 (2016)
 MC@sHQ: PRC 91, 014904 (2015)
 LGR: EPJC 80 (2020) 7, 671



Measured *R*<sub>AA</sub> and *v*<sub>2</sub> compared to transport models to understand the relevant effects on charm-quark dynamics in QGP

- Radiative energy loss important to describe the results at high  $p_{T}$ , while it is less relevant at low  $p_{T}$
- Hadronization via coalescence important to describe the results at low and intermediate  $p_{T}$

#### ALICE: <u>JHEP 01 (2022) 174</u>

 TAMU: PRL 124, 042301 (2020)
 DAB-MOD: PRC 96, 064903 (2017)
 LBT: PLB 777 (2018) 255-259
 LIDO: PRC 98, 064901 (2018)
 Catania: PRC 96, 044905 (2017)

 POWLANG: EPJC 75 (2015) 3, 121
 PHSD: PRC 93, 034906 (2016)
 MC@sHQ: PRC 91, 014904 (2015)
 LGR: EPJC 80 (2020) 7, 671

### Beauty-quark dynamics from non-prompt D mesons

#### ONS mfaggin@cern.ch 9/22



### Beauty-quark hadronization from B mesons $R_{AA}$





 $R_{AA}$  of  $B_s^0$  (bottom-strange) and  $B_c^+$  (bottom-charm) larger than that of other B mesons at intermediate  $p_T$ 

- B<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup>: coalescence between b-quark and s-quark from the QGP
- $B_c^+$ : **recombination** between **c-quark and b-quark**, despite they are not thermally produced?
  - $B_c^+$ : new particle to study the interplay between enhancement (hadronization at intermediate  $p_T$ ) and suppression (*E*-loss at high  $p_T$ )

### Beauty-hadron flow from non-prompt D-meson $v_2$

5

ATLAS: PLB 807 (2020) 135595

6 7 8 910



<sup>20</sup> р<sub>т</sub> [GeV]



- **Flow larger than 0** for **non-prompt D**<sup>0</sup> mesons (ALICE: 2.7σ)
  - Indication of strong interaction of b-quark with the QGP
- $v_2$  lower than that of prompt D mesons (ALICE: 3.2 $\sigma$ )
  - Different degree of participation to the QGP collective motion between charm and beauty quarks
  - Consistent with the expectation of a **weaker interaction** for b-quark than c-quark

### Heavy-strange-meson production

12/22



### D<sub>s</sub><sup>+</sup> enhancement in high-multiplicity p–Pb collisions







- Significant increase vs. multiplicity of prompt D<sub>s</sub><sup>+</sup>/D<sup>+</sup> ratio in p-Pb collisions
   more pronounced for backward collisions
- In line with a scenario including hadronization via **coalescence** and **strangeness enhancement** in **high-multiplicity p–Pb** collisions



### Elliptic flow measurements in pp collisions

#### CMS: Phys. Lett. B 813 (2021) 136036



- Prompt  $D^0 v_2$  in **pp collisions** at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV measured by the CMS Collaboration
- Hint of  $v_2(D^0) > 0$  in  $2 < p_T < 4 \text{ GeV}/c (\sim 2.7\sigma)$

## \*\*\*\*\*

- → Collectivity in small systems?
- → Influence of *non-flow effects* (initial-state effects, jets, resonance decays, ...) ?

"Collectivity in high-energy pp collisions" Y. Zhou, SQM 2024 (<u>link</u>)

## Nuclear modification factor of $\Lambda_c^+$ baryon

15/22



• Hint of  $R_{AA}^{\text{central}}(\Lambda_{c}^{+}) < R_{AA}^{\text{peripheral}}(\Lambda_{c}^{+}) \rightarrow \text{sensitivity to different system size and energy density}$ 

- Minimum value of  $R_{AA}^{central}(D^0)$  at around  $p_T = 6-8 \text{ GeV}/c$ , which is lower than that of  $R_{AA}^{central}(\Lambda_c^+)$
- Hint of hierarchy  $R_{AA}(\Lambda_c^+) > R_{AA}(D_s^+) > R_{AA}(non-strange D)$  for  $4 < p_T < 12 \text{ GeV}/c$  in most central collisions
  - Indication of larger enhancement for baryons due to **coalescence**
  - Interplay with **radial flow**?

16/22

## $\Lambda_{c}^{+}/D^{0}$ ratio in Pb–Pb collisions



- $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  baryon-to-meson ratio <u>*at midrapidity*</u> significantly higher (ALICE: 3.7 $\sigma$ ) in central Pb-Pb collisions than in **pp** collisions in the interval  $4 < p_T < 8 \text{ GeV}/c$ 
  - Measurement in central Pb-Pb collisions described by **transport models** with **recombination**
- No significant collision-system and centrality dependence for  $p_{\rm T} > 12 \text{ GeV/c}$ •  $h_{\rm C}^+$   $h_{\rm C}^+$   $h_{\rm C}^+$   $h_{\rm C}^+$   $h_{\rm CMS: arXiv:2307.11186 [nucl-ex]}$

### Charm-baryon production at the LHC - open points (1/2)



mfaggin@cern.ch

e

LHCb: [HEP 06 (2023) 132

LHCb: https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.06711

17/22

- Baryon-to-meson ratio at midrapidity compatible in pp and p–Pb collisions  $\rightarrow$  hint of larger  $\Xi_c^0/D^0$  in p–Pb collisions at  $p_T > 4$  GeV/c
- Baryon-to-meson ratio at forward rapidity systematically lower than those at midrapidity across collision systems
  - influence of different parton and/or heavy-flavour quark densities in different rapidity ranges?

### Charm-baryon production at the LHC - open points (2/2)

mfaggin@cern.ch 18/22



- No significant dependence vs. multiplicity of the  $p_{T}$ -integrated  $\Lambda_{c}^{+}/D^{0}$  ratio at mid-y across collision systems
- Ratio described by Catania (fragmentation + coalescence) and TAMU (SHM+RQM + 4-momentum conserving coalescence in Pb–Pb)
- PYTHIA 8 CR-BLC prediction does not reproduce the trend vs. multiplicity in pp collisions

 $\rightarrow$  Is the  $p_{T}$ -differential  $\Lambda_{c}^{+}/D^{0}$  enhancement just a consequence of radial flow and recombination?



#### Charm-baryon production at the LHC - open points (2/2)



- No significant dependence vs. multiplicity of the  $p_{\rm T}$ -integrated  $\Lambda_c^{+}/D^0$  ratio across collision systems
- Significant dependence versus multiplicity of the *p*<sub>T</sub>-integrated Λ<sub>b</sub><sup>0</sup>/B<sup>0</sup> ratio at forward-*y* in pp collisions
   o increase of about a factor 2 from low to high multiplicity

→ Influence of different parton and/or heavy-flavour quark densities in different rapidity ranges? → Is the  $p_{\rm T}$ -differential  $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  enhancement just a consequence of radial flow and recombination?



mfaggin@cern.ch

19/22

### Charm-baryon production across collision systems

#### mfaggin@cern.ch

20/22

#### Baryon enhancement in all collision systems at the LHC compared to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

- D mesons:  $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \times 1.4$ -1.6 with respect to  $e^+e^-$
- $\Lambda_c^+$  baryon:  $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow X \sim 3$  with respect to  $e^+e^-$
- No significant system dependence of charm fragmentation fractions

Modification of hadronization mechanisms already in pp and p-Pb collisions, i.e. without QGP formation?

> Track "Hadronization of light and heavy flavour across collision systems"



ALI-PUB-570972

### Food for thinking ...

21/22



... actually, just an unsatisfactory appetizer ...



#### *Experiment vs. theory*

- **Direct beauty** measurement **more challenging** that **non-prompt charm** (at least fro ALICE)
- **Do we really gain** in physics knowledge by measuring beauty hadrons rather than non-prompt charm?
  - decay kinematics non trivial? (e.g. polarization)

#### Future experiments

- Increase of statistics and acceptance (e.g. ALICE 3 up to  $|\eta| < 4$ )
- Possible measurements: DD correlation, ... what else?!
- Can larger acceptance detectors be useful for other observables (e.g. hadronization vs. rapidity)?

#### ALICE 3 LOI: <u>CERN-LHCC-2022-009</u>



22/22

The end



# Backup

#### Charm and beauty hadronization from e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> to Pb–Pb





- "Point-like" object interaction
- **Fragmentation** in the vacuum



#### Fragmentation

- Hard scattering:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{q}q$
- Color string:  $V_{Cornell}(r) \sim \kappa r$
- New qq pairs from multiple string breaking (confinement)

Hadronization: a key ingredient in all collision systems!



• **QGP**: complex system with **partonic d.o.f** 

 Hadronization can be influenced by coalescence and strangeness





enhancement



#### Coalescence

- Heavy quark recombinates with light quarks in the QGP
- Expected increase of hadrons at intermediate-low  $p_{\rm T}$
- QGP: interplay with fragmentation

### Charm and beauty hadronization from pp collisions

25/22









- Superposition of many "e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>" collisions
- Changes in hadronization due to the surrounding color charges and those from MPI?
- Do the model calculations based on the factorization approach describe the experimental results?



<u>A. Rossi</u>, Monday at 10:10 *"Heavy-quark production and hadronisation as a function of event multiplicity with ALICE"*  This talk: more focused on results in heavy-ion collisions

### Spatial diffusion coefficient

26/22

Constraining the spatial diffusion coefficient via the data-to-model agreement

 $\rightarrow$  Using  $R_{AA}$  (with  $\chi^2/\text{ndf} < 5$ ) and  $v_2$  (with  $\chi^2/\text{ndf} < 2$ ) non-strange D measurements

```
\rightarrow TAMU, MC@sHQ, LIDO, LGR, and Catania "selected"
```







28/22



### Prompt Ds+

mfaggin@cern.ch

29/22

ALICE: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.10006.pdf



30/22



31/22



ALI-PUB-534213

32/22



33/22



ALI-PUB-545132





35/22





### *E*-loss and transport models

| 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| J | / | / | 4 | 4 |

|                     | Collisional<br>en. loss | Radiative<br>en. loss | Coalescence | Hydro        | nPDF         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| CUJET 3.1           | $\checkmark$            | $\checkmark$          | ×           |              | $\checkmark$ |
| DREENA-A            | $\checkmark$            | $\checkmark$          | ×           | $\checkmark$ | ×            |
| SCET <sub>M,G</sub> | $\checkmark$            |                       | ×           | ×            |              |

|             | Collisional<br>en. loss | Radiative<br>en. loss | Coalescence  | Hydro        | nPDF         |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| TAMU        | $\checkmark$            | ×                     | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| LIDO        | $\checkmark$            | $\checkmark$          | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| PHSD        | $\checkmark$            | ×                     | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| DAB-MOD     | $\checkmark$            | $\checkmark$          |              | $\checkmark$ | ×            |
| Catania     | $\checkmark$            | ×                     | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| MC@sHQ+EPOS | $\checkmark$            | $\checkmark$          |              | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| LBT         | $\checkmark$            | $\checkmark$          |              | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| POWLANG+HTL | $\checkmark$            | ×                     | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| LGR         | $\checkmark$            | $\checkmark$          | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

But more importantly: different implementations and input parameters.

### The observables in Pb-Pb collisions

38/22

#### **1** Production spectra and $R_{AA}$

#### <sup>2</sup> Anisotropic flow

**3** ... and particle ratios!

$$R_{\rm AA}(p_{\rm T}, y) = \frac{1}{\langle T_{\rm AA} \rangle} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm AA}/{\rm d} p_{\rm T} {\rm d} y}{{\rm d}^2 \sigma_{\rm pp}/{\rm d} p_{\rm T} {\rm d} y}$$

$$v_{\rm n}(p_{\rm T}) = \langle \cos[{\rm n}(\varphi - \Psi_{\rm n})] \rangle$$

$$R_{\rm AA}(p_{\rm T}, y) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle} \cdot \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm AA}/{\rm d} p_{\rm T} {\rm d} y}{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm pp}/{\rm d} p_{\rm T} {\rm d} y}$$

39/22

### Heavy-strange-meson production





Sensitivity to **coalescence** and **strangeness enhancement** 

- 1. hint of  $R_{AA}(D_s^+) > R_{AA}(\text{non-strange D})$  at intermediate  $p_T$
- 2.  $v_2$  described by models including charm-quark coalescence with strange quarks flowing in the QGP
- 3.  $D_s^+/D^0$  ratio in Pb-Pb collisions higher than that in pp collisions of about 2.3-2.4 $\sigma$  at intermediate  $p_T$



### Charm-baryon production at the LHC - open points (2/2)

#### Baryon enhancement in all collision systems at the LHC compared to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

- No significant dependence versus multiplicity of the  $p_{\rm T}$ -integrated  $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}/{\rm D}^{0}$  ratio across collision systems
- Ratio described by Catania (fragmentation + coalescence) and TAMU (SHM+RQM + 4-momentum conserving coalescence in Pb-Pb)
- PYTHIA CR-BLC prediction does not reproduce the trend vs. multiplicity in pp collisions
- → Is the  $p_{T}$ -differential  $\Lambda_{c}^{+}/D^{0}$ enhancement just a consequence of radial flow and recombination?





40/22

### Charm-baryon production at the LHC - open points (2/2)



- No significant dependence versus multiplicity of the  $p_{\rm T}$ -integrated  $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}/{\rm D}^{0}$  ratio across collision systems
- Ratio described by Catania (fragmentation + coalescence) and TAMU (SHM+RQM + 4-momentum conserving coalescence in Pb–Pb)
- PYTHIA 8 CR-BLC prediction does not reproduce the trend vs. multiplicity in pp collisions

 $\rightarrow$  Is the  $p_{\rm T}$ -differential  $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  enhancement just a consequence of radial flow and recombination?



@cern.ch

41/22

mfaggin