# Initial state and UPC





Giuliano Giacalone and Michael Winn 06.09.2024

# Motivation from a hadron-hadron collision perspective

Initial conditions

- Precision in large system
- To be or not to be a QGP in small systems
- Unification goal

Consistency is key.

- Map hadron structure measurements to initial conditions for bulk QGP

Challenges if connection to be made generic:

- Collinear perturbative QCD or color glass condensate paradigm requires hard scale
- Hadron-hadron collisions initial state given by multiple interactions per nucleon-nucleon scattering

Oscar

## Initial state and initial stages



- Focus on initial state rather than initial stages
  - A few comments on pre equilibrium at the end

# Overview of nuclear parton distribution functions



- independent nuclear PDF fits with different methodology and data selection
  - Strong impact of LHC data, review: Klasen&Paukkunen <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.00450</u>
  - LHC impact limited by uncertainties related to: missing higher order corrections, higher twist (coh. energy loss) & hadronisation, indirect relation between exclusive observables and PDFs
    - Non-used exclusive observables indicate a similar nuclear suppression at low-x

# Inclusive UPC: closest you can get to DIS in UPC

Dijet ATLAS data eagerly

awaited as a first of its kind



First feasibility on charmonium production: encouraging





 $P_T$  [GeV]

Forward muon arm [ALICE, LHCB]

Lansberg et al. https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.01756

Kate

Paradigms of high-energy nuclear reactions



#### Great progress in matching low-energy theory to high-energy processes

Modern nuclear theory: EFT of low-energy QCD

 $(Q/\Lambda)^n$  (power counting) Weinberg *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 251, 288 (1990)

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \mathcal{T}_{i} + \sum_{i < j} V_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \cdots$$
$$\longrightarrow H |\Psi_{k}^{A}\rangle = E_{k}^{A} |\Psi_{k}^{A}\rangle$$
Benjamin



Projected Generator Coordinate Method (PGCM) – superposition of intrinsic states:

 $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{q} f(q) |\Phi(q)\rangle$  q=shape params.

Density for high-energy simulations: ( $\overline{q}$  = average shape)  $\rho_m(x, y, z) = \sum_{st} \frac{\langle \Phi(\overline{q}) | a_{xyzst}^+ a_{xyzst} P^Z P^N | \Phi(\overline{q}) \rangle}{\langle \Phi(\overline{q}) | P^Z P^N | \Phi(\overline{q}) \rangle}$ 



7



https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.05995 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.20210





First attempt to generalize nPDFs to include short-range correlations:

NCTEQ15 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2312.16293

 $\begin{aligned} f_i^A(x,Q) &= \\ & \frac{Z}{A} \left[ (1 - C_p^A) \times f_i^p(x,Q) + C_p^A \times f_i^{\text{SRC}\,p}(x,Q) \right] \\ &+ \frac{N}{A} \left[ (1 - C_n^A) \times f_i^n(x,Q) + C_n^A \times f_i^{\text{SRC}\,n}(x,Q) \right] \end{aligned}$ 

### Coherent and incoherent data from ALICE



Inferring parameters for <sup>208</sup>Pb and nucleon shape/fluctuations within nucleus?



Independent information on initial condition modeling of pp, pA, AA collisions Incoherent data: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.06169</u>, coherent <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.04623</u>

**back to**  
inclusive di-jet 
$$d\sigma^{AB \to A + \text{dijet} + X} = \sum_{i,j,X'} f_{\gamma/A}^{\text{eff}}(y) \otimes f_{i/\gamma}(x_{\gamma},Q^2) \otimes f_{j/B}(x,Q^2) \otimes d\hat{\sigma}^{ij \to \text{dijet} + X'}$$

events at high  $z_{\gamma}$  probe geometry of overlap!

A non-UPC UPC Petja  $f_{\gamma/A}^{\text{eff}}(y) = \frac{1}{B} \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \int d^2 \mathbf{s} f_{\gamma/A}(y, \mathbf{r}) T_B(\mathbf{s}) \Gamma_{AB}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s})$  $f_{j/B}(x, Q^2, \mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{B} T_B(\mathbf{s}) f_{j/B}(x, Q^2)$ 



10

#### Initial state: interesting on its own

- A key motivation: search for saturation
  - Would need more different view points for a representative discussion

Short discussion of best observable to probe saturation, mentioned cases:

- Intuition fluctuations vs. average extreme kinematics: dissociative J/psi production in gamma-p
  - Experimental feasibility demonstrated in https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.12403
  - See model <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07559</u>
- Intuition fluctuations vs. average, nuclear case: Incoherent J/psi production in UPC at high-t
  - See model calculation: https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.11320
- Precision: longitudinal structure function in DIS, e.g. EIC
  - See quantitative comparison between collinear and saturation in https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.05846
- Extreme kinematics and cleaner than hadron production: Forward photon production at the LHC in pp vs pA: Focal/LHCb

### Inter-track discussion on preequilibrium

- Current set of observables in global fits (e.g. Trajectum) poorly sensitive to preequilibrium physics
  - Conceptually a key question how to 'thermalize/hydrodynamise'
  - Better understanding of small systems
- Dileptons at high masses proposed as a handle on this stage

- high mass: early emission

Coquet et al. https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.07622 (yield),

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2112.13876 (mt-scaling),

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2309.00555 (polarisation)

Garcia-Montero et al. (full kinetic theory):

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2403.04846



Key motivation for future measurements at ALICE2/3 & LHCb U1 and U2

#### Inter-track discussion on small systems

Understanding "small system flow": initial state is big bottleneck in p-A and p-p

Exploiting light nuclei: improved theoretical systematics. Combine with UPCs!



# Light ion collisions at the LHC

Location: CERN Date: Nov. 11-15, 2024 Website: cern.ch/lightions



Topics covered: 3D initial conditions and small systems Cosmic rays and the LHC Experimental highlights Experimental projections for light ion collisions Heavy flavour in small systems Hydrodynamics in small systems Initial conditions and small systems Jets in small systems Nuclear geometry and ultraperipheral collisions Nuclear parton distribution functions Machine development Nuclear structure and the LHC

#### Organisers: Reyes Alemany Fernandez Giuliano Giacalone Qipeng Hu Govert Hugo Nijs Saverio Mariani Wilke van der Schee Huichao Song Jing Wang Urs Wiedemann You Zhou

# Question: use of JLab data for geometry constraints

Reply:

#### - Caveats:

1) Access to fluctuations needed for heavy-ion collision observable description:

provided by HERA and UPC data incoherent/dissociative jpsi production, standard form factors/DVCS only sensitive to average sizes/distribution

not aware of any equivalent data from JLAB on 'incoherent production', fluctuation picture of incoherent/dissociative production relies on Good-Walker picture (difficult to imagine to make it work at low energy)

- 2) Collision energy difference
- 3) LHC heavy-ion collisions sensitive to gluonic content:
  - provided by J/psi data at HEA/LHC

gluon sensitive measurements at JLAB very rare and/or typically close to threshold (e.g. Jpsi production), requires formalism adapted for threshold production

4) If perturbative QCD used to transfer information: requires sufficiently large Q2, at the edge for large fraction of JLab data, see e.g. PDF fits