Hadronization of light and heavy flavor across collision systems

S. Plumari

Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia 'E. Majorana', Università degli Studi di Catania

INFN-LNS



Thanks to: V. Minissale, M.L. Sambataro, S. K. Das, Y. Sun, V. Greco



International Workshop "QCD challenges from pp to AA collisions"

September 2-6, 2024 Muenster

Hadronisazion in heavy ion collisions



Hadronization is relevant:

Hadronisation:

the mechanism by which quarks and gluons produced in hard partonic scattering processes form the hadrons

No first-principle description of hadron formation

- Non-perturbative problem
- Necessary to resort to models

Hadronisation of the QGP medium at the pseudocritical temperature

Transition from a deconfined medium composed of quarks, antiquarks and gluons to color-neutral hadronic matter

- Hadronization (impossible to neglect)
- how hadron are produced? Is it a universal process in e^+e^- , e^-p , pp, pA and AA?
- source of systematic uncertainty in final observable R_{AA} and v_2 , v_3
- \rightarrow systematic uncertainty in extracting transport coefficients.
- Crucial role in the interpretation of results of Polarization for light hadrons, open heavy flavors, and quarkonia.
- Impact in predictions for multi-charm production PbPb vs KrKr vs ArAr. Relevant for ALICE3

Hadronization: fragmentation and coalescence

Proton to pion ratio Enhancement:

In vacuum from fragmentation functions the ratio is small $\frac{D_{q \to p}(z)}{D_{q \to \pi}(z)} < 0.25$

Elliptic flow splitting:

For p_T >2 GeV Both hydro and fragmentation predicts similar v_2 for pions and protons

Another hadronization mechanism is by coalescence:

Formalism originally developed for light-nuclei production fro coalescence of nucleons on a freeze-out hypersurface.

Extended to describe meson and baryon formation in AA collisio from the quarks of QGP through $2 \rightarrow 1$ and $3 \rightarrow 1$ processes V. Greco, C.M. Ko, P. Levai PRL 90, 202302 (2003). V. Greco, C.M. Ko, P. Levai PRC 68, 034904 (2003). R.J. Fries, B. Muller, C. Nonaka, S.A. Bass PRL 90, 202303 (2003). R.J. Fries, B. Muller, C. Nonaka, S.A. Bass PRC 68,044902 (2003).

Resonance Recombination model

Reformulation of coalescence approaches based on a transport

L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, Phys. Lett. B 655, 126 (2007).

L. Ravagli, H. van Hees and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 79, 064902 (2009).



R. J. Fries, V. Greco, P. Sorensen Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 58 (2008) 177

Hadronization: fragmentation and coalescence

Proton to pion ratio Enhancement:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{In vacuum from fragmentation functions} \\ \mbox{the ratio is small} \quad \ \frac{D_{q \rightarrow p}(z)}{D_{q \rightarrow \pi}(z)} < 0.25 \end{array}$

Elliptic flow splitting:

For p_T >2 GeV Both hydro and fragmentation predicts similar v_2 for pions and protons



HF hadronization have stimulated new developments:

- PYHTIA beyond Leading Color (LC) \rightarrow Color Reconnection (CR) in pp
- SHM applied to pp
- Coalescence+Fragmentation approach applied to pp
- Local color recombination: POWLANG in AA and in pp
- Inclusion of HF Coalescence+ Fragmentation in EPOS (pp &AA)

Hadronization schemes

Independent fragmentation

 $q \rightarrow \pi$, K, p, Λ .. $c \rightarrow D$, D_s , Λ_c , ...

• String fragmentation (PYTHIA)

T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026 C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8

o In medium hadronization with Cluster decay

A. Beraudo et al., EPJC82(2022) [AA] A. Beraudo et al., PRD109(2024) [pp]

• Coalescence/recombination

- S. Plumari, V. Minissale et al, Eur. Phys. J. C78 no. 4, (2018) 348
- S. Cao et al., Phys. Lett. B 807 (2020) 135561

Resonance Recombination model

- L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, Phys. Lett. B 655, 126 (2007).
- L. Ravagli, H. van Hees and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 79, 064902 (2009).

• Statistical hadronization model (SHM)

A. Andronic et al, JHEP 07 (2021) 035



For recent reviews of HF hadronization see: J. Altmann, arXiv:2405.19137 [hep-ph] J. Zhao, et al., PRC **109**, no.5, 054912 (2024) **PYTHIA**

T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026 C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8

PYTHIA model hadronization from e+e– up to pp collisions recent extension (Angantyr) to study AA collisions (*C. Bierlich et al., JHEP 10, 134 (2018)*)



The string breaking is modelled via Schwinger mechanism for QCD $\sim e^{-1}$ k is the string tension

 $\begin{pmatrix} \pi m_{\perp q}^2 \\ k \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \text{high-}p_{\tau} \text{ excitations and heavy quarks are} \\ produced by perturbative mechanisms} \\ (partonic scattering processes and parton showers) and not by string breaking \\ \end{pmatrix}$

Leading Color (N_c $\rightarrow \infty$): Prob. of Local Color neutralization $\rightarrow 0$ only "dipole" string configurations are allowed

In Leading Color HF baryon only by [di-quark+HF] with HF as string end point



PYTHIA

T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026 C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8

PYTHIA model hadronization from e+e- up to pp collisions recent extension (Angantyr) to study AA collisions (*C. Bierlich et al., JHEP 10, 134 (2018)*)



The string breaking is modelled via Schwinger mechanism for QCD $\sim e^{-(\frac{\pi}{2} k is the string tension})$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \pi m_{\perp q}^2 \\ k \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \text{high-}p_{\tau} \text{ excitations and heavy quarks are} \\ produced by perturbative mechanisms} \\ (partonic scattering processes and parton showers) and not by string breaking \\ \end{pmatrix}$

Leading Color (N_c $\rightarrow \infty$): Prob. of Local Color neutralization $\rightarrow 0$ only "dipole" string configurations are allowed

In Leading Color HF baryon only by [di-quark+HF] with HF as string end point

When string color reconnection is switched-on in pp according to SU(3) counting: J. R. Christiansen and P. Z. Skands JHEP 08 (2015) 003

• Very large baryon Λc , Σc enhancement

not that relevant for D, similar to coalescence+fragmentation
 Local reconnection → string energy minimization → smaller
 invariant mass and breaking of long y correlation

PYTHIA

T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026 C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8

PYTHIA model hadronization from e+e– up to pp collisions recent extension (Angantyr) to study AA collisions (*C. Bierlich et al., JHEP 10, 134 (2018)*)



S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll.] EPJC 80, no.8, 693 (2020)

The string breaking is modelled via Schwinger mechanism for QCD $\sim e^{-\left(\frac{\pi m_{\perp q}^2}{k}\right)}$ k is the string tension

high- p_{T} excitations and heavy quarks are produced by perturbative mechanisms (partonic scattering processes and parton showers) and not by string breaking

Leading Color (N_c $\rightarrow \infty$): Prob. of Local Color neutralization $\rightarrow 0$ only "dipole" string configurations are allowed

In Leading Color HF baryon only by [di-quark+HF] with HF as string end point

When string color reconnection is switched-on in pp according to SU(3) counting: J. R. Christiansen and P. Z. Skands JHEP 08 (2015) 003

- Very large baryon Λc, Σc enhancement
- not that relevant for D, similar to coalescence+fragmentation
 Local reconnection → string energy minimization → smaller
 invariant mass and breaking of long y correlation

Rope hadronization has been proposed to describe strangeness enhancement \rightarrow increase string tension in densely packed environments \rightarrow to higher strange-hadron production. *C.Bierlich, EPJ Web Conf. 171 (2018), 14003*

Coalescence approach in phase space



Coalescence approach in phase space for HQ



$$f_H(...) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_q-1} A_W \exp\left(-\frac{x_{ri}^2}{\sigma_{ri}^2} - p_{ri}^2 \sigma_{ri}^2\right)$$



♦ Normalization in f_W(...) fixed by requiring P_{coal}(p->0)=1 :others modify by hand σ_r to enforce confinement for a charm at rest in the medium

♦ The charm not "coalescencing" undergo fragmentation:

$$\frac{dN_{had}}{d^2 p_T \, dy} = \sum \int dz \frac{dN_{fragm}}{d^2 p_T \, dy} \frac{D_{had/c}(z, Q^2)}{z^2}$$

charm number conserved at each p_T , we have employed e^+e^- FF now PYTHIA

S. Plumari, V. Minissale et al., Eur. Phys. J. C78 no. 4, (2018) 348

Resonance Recombination Model (RRM)

L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, PLB 655, 126 (2007). L. Ravagli, et al.,, PRC 79, 064902 (2009).

$$f_M(\vec{x},\vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_M(p)}{\Gamma_M} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p_1}d^3\vec{p_2}}{(2\pi)^3} f_q(\vec{x},\vec{p_1}) f_{\bar{q}}(\vec{x},\vec{p_2}) \ \sigma_M(s) v_{\rm rel}(\vec{p_1},\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2$$

The 3-body hadronization process in RRM are conducted in 2 steps

1:quark-1 and quark-2 recombine into a diquark, q1(p1) + q2(p2) \rightarrow dq(p12) **2**: the diquark recombines with quark-3 into a baryon dq1(p12) + q3(p3) \rightarrow B

$$f_B(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_B}{\Gamma_B} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_1 d^3 \vec{p}_2 d^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\gamma_{dq}}{\Gamma_{dq}} f_1(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_1) f_2(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_2) \\ \times f_3(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_3) \sigma_{dq}(s_{12}) v_{\rm rel}^{12} \sigma_B(s) v_{\rm rel}^{dq3} \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3)$$

Recomb. according **not to a w.f. but to a Breit-Wigner** cross section (still a closeness in phase space constrained by $\Gamma_{M-B} \sim 100-300$ MeV): \rightarrow Assumed a set of additional RQMc-baryons [*as in SHM*] -Increased set of baryons for the Λ_c production: PDG: $5\Lambda_c$, $3\Sigma_c$, $8 \Xi_c$, $2 \Omega_c$ RQM: $18 \Lambda_c$, $42 \Sigma_c$, $62 \Xi_c$, $34 \Omega_c$

 \rightarrow Similar effects to coalescence on R_{AA} and v2 of D & Ac Local phase-space recombination with strong: Space-Momentum-Correlation



Resonance Recombination Model (RRM)

L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, PLB 655, 126 (2007). L. Ravagli, et al.,, PRC 79, 064902 (2009).

$$f_M(\vec{x},\vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_M(p)}{\Gamma_M} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p_1} d^3 \vec{p_2}}{(2\pi)^3} f_q(\vec{x},\vec{p_1}) f_{\bar{q}}(\vec{x},\vec{p_2}) \ \sigma_M(s) v_{\rm rel}(\vec{p_1},\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{p_2}) \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1}-\vec{$$

The 3-body hadronization process in RRM are conducted in 2 steps

1 :quark-1 and quark-2 recombine into a diquark, q1(p1) + q2(p2) \rightarrow dq(p12) **2**: the diquark recombines with quark-3 into a baryon dq1(p12) + q3(p3) \rightarrow B

$$f_B(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_B}{\Gamma_B} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_1 d^3 \vec{p}_2 d^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\gamma_{dq}}{\Gamma_{dq}} f_1(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_1) f_2(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_2) \\ \times f_3(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_3) \sigma_{dq}(s_{12}) v_{\rm rel}^{12} \sigma_B(s) v_{\rm rel}^{dq3} \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3)$$

Recomb. according **not to a w.f. but to a Breit-Wigner** cross section (still a closeness in phase space costrained by Γ M-B ~ 100-300 MeV): \rightarrow Assumed a set of additional RQMc-baryons [*as in SHM*] -Increased set of baryons for the Λ_c production: PDG: $5\Lambda_c$, $3\Sigma_c$, $8 \Xi_c$, $2 \Omega_c$ RQM: $18 \Lambda_c$, $42 \Sigma_c$, $62 \Xi_c$, $34 \Omega_c$

 \rightarrow Similar effects to coalescence on R_{AA} and v2 of D & Ac Local phase-space recombination with strong: Space-Momentum-Correlation



POWLANG Local Color Neutralization

A. Beraudo et al., EPJC82(2022) [AA] A. Beraudo et al., PRD109(2024) [pp]

- HQ hadronization in the presence of a reservoir of lighter thermal particles:
- Recombination of the HQ with light antiquark or diquarks:
- Color-singlet clusters with low invariant mass M (M<4 GeV) are assumed to undergo an isotropic 2-body decay in their local rest-frame.
- Heavier clusters are instead fragmented as Lund strings.
- \circ Recombination with light diquarks \rightarrow enhances yields of charmed baryons.
- $\circ~$ The local color neutralization $\rightarrow~$ strong space-momentum correlation $\rightarrow~$ enhancement of the collective flow of the final charmed hadrons

Dense medium (pp &AA) \rightarrow **local** color statistical neutralization, qualitatively similar to PYTHIA with local CR \rightarrow smaller **M** qualitatively similar to Coalescence/Resonance Recombination



- Existence of thermal flowing diquarks
- Very strong impact on $v_2(p_T)$ from $c \rightarrow D$, Λc
- Large D_s production already in pp







POWLANG Local Color Neutralization

A. Beraudo et al., EPJC82(2022) [AA] A. Beraudo et al., PRD109(2024) [pp]

HQ hadronization in the presence of a reservoir of lighter thermal particles:

Recombination of the HQ with light antiquark or diquarks:

- Color-singlet clusters with low invariant mass M (M<4 GeV) are assumed to undergo an isotropic 2-body decay in their local rest-frame.
- Heavier clusters are instead fragmented as Lund strings.
- Recombination with light diquarks \rightarrow enhances yields of charmed baryons.
- The local color neutralization \rightarrow strong space-momentum correlation \rightarrow enhancement of the collective flow of the final charmed hadrons

Dense medium (pp &AA) \rightarrow **local** color statistical neutralization, qualitatively similar to PYTHIA with local CR \rightarrow smaller **M** qualitatively similar to Coalescence/Resonance Recombination

Specific of the approach:

- Existence of thermal flowing diquarks
- Very strong impact on $v_2(p_T)$ from $c \rightarrow D$, Λc
- Large D_s production already in pp



Statistical hadronization model (SHM)

Multiplicities of light-flavour hadrons well described by different implementation of SHM (Grand Canonical).

- Agreement over 9 orders of magnitude in central AA collisions at midrapidity.
- T_{chem} =156 MeV close to the pseudo critical temperature from IQCD and very small differences between differnt implementation of SHM





S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll], EPJ C 84 (2024) no.8, 813.

GSI-Heidelberg: A. Andronic et al., NPA 772 (2006), A. Andronic et al., PLB 792 (2019) 304, A. Andronic et al, JHEP 07 (2021) 035 [for HF] Thermal-FIST: V. Vovchenko et al., PRC 98 (2018) 034906, V. Vovchenko et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 244 (2019) 295

SHARE: G. Torrieri et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 175 (2006) 635, M. Petran et al., Comput. Phys.Commun. 185 (2014) 2056–2079

THERMUS: S. Wheaton et al., arXiv:1108.4588, J. Cleymans et al. PRC 74 (2006) 034903.

Light flavour (u,d,s) from p, pA, AA

Light baryon-to-meson production in AA

Catania: Boltzmann+Istantaneus Coal.+Fragm. *V. Minissale et al., PRC 92 (2015) 054904*

CoLBT: linear Boltzmann+hydro bulk+Coal+Fragm. *W. Zhao, et al. PRL 128 (2022) 022302*

EPOS: core (viscous hydro) + statistical hadronization + hadronic cascade. *K. Werner et al., PRC 89 (2014) 064903*

VISHNU: viscous hydro-> Cooper-Frye -> UrQMD C. Shenet al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 199 (2016) 61

- high-pT region (>8–10 GeV/c), particle yield ratios in Pb–Pb collisions match those in pp collisions, fragmentation dominates hadron formation.
- low-pT (<2 GeV/c), spectra are well described by hydrodynamic models, consistent with an equilibrium evolution of the system.
- Intermediate-pT region provides insight into hadron formation mechanisms, models with recombination capturs key data features.



Light baryon-to-meson production from pp to AA

- color ropes in PYTHIA (enhanced tension color flux tubes) good description of strangeness enhancement in high-multiplicity pp collisions (10% accuracy), but fails to accurately describe the p/π .
- SHM describe the relative increase in strangeness. Thermal FIST (canonical statistical model) describes most particle ratios up to 20% deviation.

Why does the proton-to-pion ratio show smaller dependence on multiplicity in LHC collisions?





Event-by-event production of multistrange hadrons

Measurement of both correlation and normalized second-order cumulants $\widehat{\mu}$ provides strong discriminative power against different model predictions.

$$\frac{\kappa_{2}(\Delta\Xi)}{\kappa_{1}(\overline{\Xi}^{+}+\Xi^{-})} = \frac{\kappa_{2}(\overline{\Xi}^{+}) + \kappa_{2}(\Xi^{-}) - 2\kappa_{11}(\overline{\Xi}^{+},\Xi^{-})}{\langle n_{\overline{\Xi}^{+}} + n_{\overline{\Xi}^{-}} \rangle}, \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\kappa_{1}(A) = \langle n_{A} \rangle,}{\kappa_{2}(A) = \langle n_{A}^{2} \rangle - \langle n_{A} \rangle^{2},}$$
$$\rho_{\Delta\Xi\Delta K} = \frac{\kappa_{11}(\overline{\Xi}^{+},K^{+}) + \kappa_{11}(\Xi^{-},K^{-}) - \kappa_{11}(\overline{\Xi}^{+},K^{-}) - \kappa_{11}(\Xi^{-},K^{+})}{\sqrt{\kappa_{2}(\Delta\Xi)\kappa_{2}(\Delta K)}}, \quad \kappa_{11}(A,B) = \langle n_{A}n_{B} \rangle - \langle n_{A} \rangle \langle n_{B} \rangle$$

- SHM (Canonical Ensemble) Thermal-FIST: The strangeness saturation parameter γ_s is included to account for incomplete strangeness equilibration at low multiplicities. SHM successfully describes both the normalized second-order cumulant and correlation ($\rho_{\Delta \equiv \Delta K}$)
- PYTHIA 8 and Rope Hadronization: qualitatively predict negative correlations and second-order cumulant smaller than one, but overestimate it in low- and high-multiplicity regions.

How does the picture change at higher p_T where fragmentation is expected to be the dominant hadronization mechanism?

How could higher-order cumulants reveal deviations from the thermal baseline? Missing calculation of coal.+fragm. approach

A systematic study including p, π , Ω , φ ... can give more information about hadronization



Light flavour hadron v₂(p_T) in AA

Catania: Boltzmann+Istantaneus Coal.+Fragm.

V. Minissale et al., PRC 92 (2015) 054904

CoLBT: linear Boltzmann+hydro bulk+Coal+Fragm. W. Zhao, et al. PRL 128 (2022) 022302

EPOS: core (viscous hydro) + statistical hadronization + hadronic cascade. *K. Werner et al., PRC 89 (2014) 064903*

VISHNU: viscous hydro-> Cooper-Frye -> UrQMD C. Shenet al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 199 (2016) 61

- EPOS3: hadronic cascade stage (UrQMD), are crucial for descrption of $v_2(p_T)$. EPOS3 successfully reproduces mass-ordering up to $p_T = 2-3$ GeV.
- Model with recombination and fragmentation (Catania and CoLBT), describe $v_2(p_T)$ over a broad p_T range, from hydro like behavior at low p_T to fragmentation at high p_T .
- CoLBT simulations that exclude the coalescence process underestimate $v_2(p_T)$ for $p_T > 4$ GeV.

S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll], EPJ C 84 (2024) no.8, 813.



Impact of Quark Coalescence on v₂(p_T) in pA and pp



Heavy flavour (c,b) from p, pA, AA

Grand canonical SHM + Frag. In pp



[but assuming V_{corr} with linear evolution with N_{tracks}]

Grand canonical SHM + Frag. In pp



Small systems: Coalescence in pp? (Charm hadrons)





V. Minissale et al., Phys.Lett.B 821 (2021) 136622

Error band correspond to <r²> uncertainty in quark model

-Damping of rise-and-fall behaviour in Λ_c / D⁰ ratio:

- -Comparison with AA: Coal. contribution smaller w.r.t. Fragm.
- Coalescence does not affect significantly D^0 but is dominant for baryons Λ_c and Ξ_c
- More abundant the coalescence contribution for B even in pp, Minissale et al., 2405.19244

Possible role of diquarks in AA and pp?



- Catania-coal & SHM-RQM/QCM natural good description of Σ_c/D^0 and $A_c \leftarrow \Sigma_c$

- PYTHIA-CR too many $\Sigma_c \rightarrow \Lambda_c/D^0$; associated to a suppression of junction **diquark** *I*=1 (set ~ e^+e^- for string di-quark). Removing it \rightarrow Agreeement to data of $\Lambda_c \leftarrow \Sigma_c$
 - It goes in the direction of simply recombine according to SU(3) ~ simple colaescence

Possible role of diquarks in AA and pp?

Coal. Approaches (*Catania, LBT, EPOS4HQ... RR-TAMU*) $\rightarrow v_2(\Lambda_c) > v_2(D^0)$ at pT> 2 GeV because Λ_c gets flow from 2 light quarks, D⁰ from 1+fragm.

POWLANG assume diquark hydrodynamical flow and $\Lambda_c = (qq) + c \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_2(\Lambda_c)^{\sim} \mathbf{v}_2(\mathbf{D}^0)$ at intermediate pT





The (us) and (ds) diquarks are more compact and exhibit stronger binding energy than (ud) diquarks.

 $\rightarrow\,$ enhanced production of Ec and D particles in high-energy pp $\rightarrow\,$ enhancement in Ec/D0

- Similar enhancements expected in pPb or PbPb collisions?
- Possible impact in the strangeness enhancement for light flavour K, Λ?

HF baryon/meson in pp: rapidity dependence



- Again Need CR in PYTHIAà seems too strong at forward (no rapidity dependence)
- EPOS4HQ+coal close to data (rapidity dependence?). At y=0 Catania results
- SHM +RQM about close, less the pT shape (Frag.-Function)
- Coal./Fragm. ratio in pp larger for B than D

HF baryon/meson in pp: rapidity dependence



- Again Need CR in PYTHIAà seems too strong at forward (no rapidity dependence)
- EPOS4HQ+coal close to data (rapidity dependence?). At y=0 Catania results
- SHM +RQM about close, less the pT shape (Frag.-Function)
- Coal./Fragm. ratio in pp larger for B than D
- PYTHIA 8 does not predict rapidity-dependence a discrepancy with LHCb measurements at forward rapidity.
- Coalescence affect the rapidity dependence of the baryon-to-meson ratio of charm or beauty hadrons?
- Do the coal.+fragm. model also describe measurements at forward rapidity? Dominance of fragm.?

Strangeness in pp for HF sector



- POWLANG/LCN too high, but the approach has only recombination also for mesons
- PYTHIA-CR seems to have a lack of strangeness [see also Ξc]

HF baryon/meson in pp pA AA

Advantages of implementing coal. in **EPOS4**:

- Full dynamical realistic dynamics from ep, pp to AA
- J. Zhao et al., PRD 109, no.5, 054011 (2024)

J. Zhao et al., PRC 110, no.2, 024909 (2024)

difference in coal. wrt Catania: Assume RQM states like in SHM

- Able to predict also a sizeable elliptic flows in pp

 \rightarrow more solid costraints to hadronization and the properties of the pp QCD matter created.

 \rightarrow v2(Λ_c)/v2(D⁰) would give more insight into coal.

Would PYHTIA-CR predict finite v2 of D, Λ_c in pp? String shoving?





Multi-charm in PbPb - KrKr – ArAr -OO

Braun-Munzinger, Stachel, PLB 490 (2000) 196 Statistical Thermal Model (SHM) + charm(SHMc) Yield per spin d.o. Pb-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =2.76 TeV 10^{3} central collisions 10² grand canonical partition function 10 chemical potential \leftrightarrow $\ln Z_{i} = \frac{V g_{i}}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \pm p^{2} dp \ln[1 \pm \exp(-(E_{i} - \mu))/T)]$ conservation quantum numbers 10^{-1} J/ψ (N_B, N_s, N_c) 10^{-2} **Equilibrium + hadron-resonance gas + freeze-out temperature.** Data (|y|<0.5), ALICE 10^{-3} Production depends on hadron masses and degeneracy, and on system properties. particles 10^{-4} antiparticles *Charm hadrons* according to thermal weights 10^{-5} Statistical Hadronization (T=156.5 MeV) the total charm content of the fireball is fixed by the measured open charm cross section. ⁴He total (+decays; +initial charm) 10^{-6} $N_{c\bar{c}}^{dir} = \frac{1}{2} g_c V \left(\sum n_{D_i}^{th} + n_{\Lambda_{ci}}^{th} \right) + g_c^2 V \left(\sum n_{\psi_i}^{th} + n_{\chi_i}^{th} \right)$ primordial (thermal) 10-0.5 1.5 2 2.5 3.5 3 pQCD production $N_{c,anti-c} = 9.6 \rightarrow g_c = 30.1$ (charm fugacity) Mass (GeV) Ratio 8.0 Ratio F Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}, 0.10\%$ Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, 30-50% $\mathbf{D}^{0}, |y| < 0.5$ $d^2N/dy dp_T$ (Ge) 0.6 Andronic et al., 10-0.5 JHEP 07 (2021) 035 0.4 10⁻² 0.3 $\mathbf{D}^{+}/\mathbf{D}^{0}$, |y| < 0.510⁻³ 0.2 JHEP 01 (2022) 174 $\mathbf{D}^{*+}/\mathbf{D}^{0}$, |y| < 0.5ALICE data 0.1 ⊨ SHMc yields+blast wave SHMc + FastReso + corona SHMc + FastReso + corona JHEP 01 (2022) 174 10^{-4} U 1.4 1.2 d²N / dy d $p_{ m T}$ (GeV⁻¹) $\rightarrow p_{\tau}$ spectra D_{s}^{+}/D^{0} , |y| < 0.5 Λ_{c}/D^{0} , |y| < 0.510-Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}, 30-50\%$ Phys. Lett. B 827 (2022) 136986 arXiv:2112.08156 [nucl-ex] $\Lambda_{c}, |y| < 0.5$ 10⁻² 10^{-3} 0.8 0.6 10^{-4} 0.4 10^{-5} 0.2 10 12 14 8 16 6 10 12 10 12 14 14 $p_{_{T}}$ (GeV) p_{τ} (GeV) p_ (GeV)

<u> Statistical Thermal Model (SHM) + charm(SHMc)</u>

grand canonical partition function

 $\ln Z_{i} = \frac{V g_{i}}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \pm p^{2} dp \ln[1 \pm \exp(-(E_{i} - \mu))/T)]$

chemical potential ↔ conservation quantum numbers (N_B, N_s, N_c)

Equilibrium + hadron-resonance gas + freeze-out temperature.

Production depends on hadron masses and degeneracy, and on system properties.

Charm hadrons according to thermal weights

the total charm content of the fireball is fixed by the measured open charm cross section.

 $N_{c\bar{c}}^{dir} = \frac{1}{2} g_c V \left(\sum_i n_{D_i}^{th} + n_{\Lambda_{ci}}^{th} \right) + g_c^2 V \left(\sum_i n_{\psi_i}^{th} + n_{\chi_i}^{th} \right)$ pQCD production N_{c,anti-c} = 9.6 \Rightarrow g_c = 30.1 (charm fugacity)





Yelds in PbPb: coalescence vs SHM

V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)



 \rightarrow upper limit: charm thermal distribution

 \rightarrow lower limit: PbPb distribution with widths rescaled as standard Harm. Oscill. ($\omega~from~\Omega_c^{\rm 0}$)



We employ same volume in SHM A. Andronic JHEP (2021) 035

	00	ArAr	KrKr	PbPb
R_0 (fm)	2.76	3.75	4.9	6.5
R_{max} (fm)	5.2	7.65	10.1	14.1
τ (fm)	4	5	6.2	8
β_{max}	0.55	0.6	0.64	0.7
$V_{ y < 0.5} (\text{fm}^3)$	345	920	2000	5000

 $\Sigma_c^0, \Xi_c^0, \Omega_c^0$, widths from quark model Ξ_{cc}, Ω_{cc} widths obtained rescaling with harm. oscillator

Yelds in PbPb: coalescence

V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)

 D^0 and Λ_c determine the yield, the radius variation is compensated by the constraint on the charm hadronization

A \pm 50% in the radius of Ω_{ccc} induces a change in the yield by about 1 order of magnitude

$$V(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) = \sum_{i < j} V_{cc}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j). \qquad V_{c\bar{c}}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = -\frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|} + \sigma |\mathbf{r}_{ij}|,$$

Solve the 3-body problem by a 1-body in higher dimensions hyperspherical coordinates method

 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2m_c} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{5}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \right) + v(r) \end{bmatrix} \varphi(r) = E\varphi(r)$ $W(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = \int d^6 \mathbf{y} e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \psi\left(\mathbf{r} + \frac{\mathbf{y}}{2}\right) \psi^*\left(\mathbf{r} - \frac{\mathbf{y}}{2}\right)$ $W(r, p, \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int d^6 \mathbf{y} e^{-ipy_1} \varphi\left(r_y^+\right) \varphi^*\left(r_y^-\right),$





$$\frac{dN}{d^2 \mathbf{P}_T d\eta} = C \int_{\Sigma} \frac{P^{\mu} d\sigma_{\mu}(R)}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^4 r_x d^4 r_y d^4 p_x d^4 p_y}{(2\pi)^6} \times F(\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{r}_3, \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \tilde{p}_3) W(r_x, r_y, p_x, p_y),$$

 $Ω_{ccc}$ <r>=0.5 fm & $σ_r · σ_p ≈ 1.5$ similar to Tsinghua PLB746 (2015)

Ratios of pT distribution Ωccc in PbPb/KrKr/ArAr/OO



V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)



- It can be a meter of non-equilibrium.
- Translation of features of charm spectra at low pT in to higher momentum region.
- More sensitive of multicharm Ω_{cco}/D^0 with respect to Λ_o/D^0

Assessment of some of open issues

- Measurement of correlations, second-order and high order cumulants of Strange, multi-strange and charmed hadrons provides strong discriminative power against different model.
- Rapidity evolution of light and heavy flavor baryon/meson, $v_n(p_T)$ [most work at y~0]
- Polarization possible new window for further tests of coalescence and fragmentation
- Extension to bottom + reduced data error bars, will show similar agreement? Λ_b/B^0 , Ξ_b/B^0 , Ω_b/B^0 further constraint to the hadronization mechanism
- Coal./Fragm. dominance of coal. in Λc ? a probe large $v_2(D) / v_2(\Lambda c)$ vs pT
- di-quark role in $\Xi c/D0$? need smaller error at low pT System size scan of Ξ_c/D^0 , Ω_c/D^0 further constraint to the hadronization mechanism? hydro flowing diquarks? $v_2(\Lambda c) - v_2(D)$ at intermediate pT
- PDG/RQM resonances ...
- **Multicharm baryon** production (ALICE3): Ωccc yield large sensitivity to charm kinetic equilibration and its wave function width

Polarization



Hadronization underlying the interpretation for light hadrons, open HF and quarkonia

S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll.], PRL 125 (2020) no.1, 012301





S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll.], PRL 131 (2023) no.4, 042303

Small systems: Coalescence in pp? (Bottom hadrons)

Data from: A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS), PRL 119, 152301 (2017).





- Pcoal of bottom is flatter than Pcoal of charm
 -> Coal. greater impact on bottom hadron production
- B meson production at pT < 5 GeV mainly from Coal
- Ab production mainly from Coal. for pT < 10 GeV

Small systems: Coalescence in pp? (Bottom hadrons)

V. Minissale et al, arXiv:2405.19244 [hep-ph]



Yelds in PbPb: coalescence vs SHM

V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)



 $\Sigma_c^0, \Xi_c^0, \Omega_c^0$, widths from quark model Ξ_{cc}, Ω_{cc} widths obtained rescaling with harm. oscillator

$$\sigma_{ri} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_i \omega}} \qquad \mu_1 = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}; \mu_2 = \frac{(m_1 + m_2) m_3}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}$$

→ upper limit: charm thermal distribution → lower limit: PbPb distribution with widths rescaled as standard Harm. Oscill. (ω from Ω_c^0)

	D^0	Λ_c	$\Xi_{cc}^{+,++}$	Ω_{ccc}
00	0.156	0.0732	$3 - 12.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.2 - 29.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$
ArAr	0.543	0.301	$1.9 - 6.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.5 - 26.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$
KrKr	1.564	0.835	$0.78 - 2.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5 - 14.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$
PbPb	5.343	3.0123	$4 - 12.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$0.12 - 1.01 \cdot 10^{-4}$

Small systems

Traditional view:

• QGP in Pb+Pb

no QGP in p+p ("baseline")



R. D. Weller, P. Romatschke PLB 774 (2017) 351-356



- Too few particles, cannot be collective
- System not in equilibrium

ALICE Coll.,PRL 111 (2013) 222301 ALICE Coll.,J. Phys.: Conf.Ser. 509 (2014) 012091 ALICE Coll.NPA 956 (2016) 777-780.



Fragmentation:

production from hard-scattering processes (PDF+pQCD).

Fragmentation functions assumed "universal"

- Indication that fragmentation depends on the collision system
- Assumption of their universality not supported by the measured cross sections

