Hadronization of light and heavy flavor across collision systems

S. Plumari

Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia 'E. Majorana', Università degli Studi di Catania

INFN-LNS

Thanks to: V. Minissale, M.L. Sambataro, S. K. Das, Y. Sun, V. Greco

International Workshop "QCD challenges from pp to AA collisions"

September 2-6, 2024 Muenster

Hadronisazion in heavy ion collisions

Hadronization is relevant:

Hadronisation:

the mechanism by which quarks and gluons produced in hard partonic scattering processes form the hadrons

hadrons • No first-principle description of hadron formation

- *- Non-perturbative problem*
- *- Necessary to resort to models*

gluons & quarks out of eq.
 Extrong fields
 Extrace anticeal to measure true critical temperature

Transition from a deconfined medium composed of quarks, antiquarks and gluons to color-neutral hadronic matter

- **Hadronization (impossible to neglect)**
- *- how hadron are produced? Is it a universal process in e⁺e⁻, e⁻p, pp, pA and AA?*
- *source of systematic uncertainty in final observable R_{AA} and v₂, v₃....*
- *→ systematic uncertainty in extracting transport coefficients.*
- *Crucial role in the interpretation of results of Polarization for light hadrons, open heavy flavors, and quarkonia.*
- *Impact in predictions for multi-charm production PbPb vs KrKr vs ArAr. Relevant for ALICE3*

Hadronization: fragmentation and coalescence

Baryon to Meson Ratios

Proton to pion ratio Enhancement:

In vacuum from fragmentation functions the ratio is small $D_{q\to p}(z)$ $D_{q\to\pi}(z)$ < 0.25

Elliptic flow splitting:

For $p_T>2$ GeV Both hydro and fragmentation predicts similar $v₂$ for pions and protons

Another hadronization mechanism is by coalescence:

Formalism originally developed for light-nuclei production from coalescence of nucleons on a freeze-out hypersurface.

Extended to describe meson and baryon formation in AA collisio^{50.05'} from the quarks of QGP through $2\rightarrow 1$ and $3\rightarrow 1$ processes *V. Greco, C.M. Ko, P. Levai PRL 90, 202302 (2003). V. Greco, C.M. Ko, P. Levai PRC 68, 034904 (2003). R.J. Fries, B. Muller, C. Nonaka, S.A. Bass PRL 90, 202303 (2003). R.J. Fries, B. Muller, C. Nonaka, S.A. Bass PRC 68,044902 (2003).*

Resonance Recombination model

Reformulation of coalescence approaches based on a transport

L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, Phys. Lett. B 655, 126 (2007).

L. Ravagli, H. van Hees and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 79, 064902 (2009).

Hadronization: fragmentation and coalescence

Proton to pion ratio Enhancement:

In vacuum from fragmentation functions the ratio is small $D_{q\to p}(z)$ $D_{q\to\pi}(z)$ < 0.25

Elliptic flow splitting:

For p_T >2 GeV Both hydro and fragmentation predicts similar v_2 for pions and protons

R. J. Fries, V. Greco, P. Sorensen Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 58 (2008) 177

HF hadronization have stimulated new developments:

- PYHTIA beyond Leading Color (LC) → Color Reconnection (CR) in pp
- SHM applied to pp
- Coalescence+Fragmentation approach applied to pp
- Local color recombination: POWLANG in AA and in pp
- Inclusion of HF Coalescence+ Fragmentation in EPOS (pp &AA)

o **Independent fragmentation**

q → π, K, p, Λ .. c → **D**, **D**_s, Λ_c , ...

o **String fragmentation** (PYTHIA)

T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026 C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8

o **In medium hadronization with Cluster decay**

A. Beraudo et al., EPJC82(2022) [AA] A. Beraudo et al., PRD109(2024) [pp]

o **Coalescence/recombination**

- S. Plumari, V. Minissale et al, Eur. Phys. J. **C78** no. 4, (2018) 348
- S. Cao et al. , Phys. Lett. B 807 (2020) 135561

Resonance Recombination model

- L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, Phys. Lett. B 655, 126 (2007).
- L. Ravagli, H. van Hees and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 79, 064902 (2009).

o **Statistical hadronization model (SHM)**

A. Andronic et al, JHEP 07 (2021) 035

For recent reviews of HF hadronization see: J. Altmann, arXiv:2405.19137 [hep-ph] J. Zhao, et al., PRC **109**, no.5, 054912 (2024)

PYTHIA *T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026 C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8*

PYTHIA model hadronization from e+e− up to pp collisions recent extension (Angantyr) to study AA collisions (*C. Bierlich et al., JHEP 10, 134 (2018)*)

The string breaking is modelled via Schwinger mechanism for QCD $\sim\!e^$ *k is the string tension*

 $\pi\,m_{\perp\it q}^2$ \overline{k} *high-p^T excitations and heavy quarks are produced by perturbative mechanisms (partonic scattering processes and parton showers) and not by string breaking*

Leading Color ($N_c \rightarrow \infty$): Prob. of Local Color neutralization $\rightarrow 0$ *only "dipole" string configurations are allowed*

In Leading Color HF baryon only by [di-quark+HF] with HF as string end point

PYTHIA *T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026*

C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8

PYTHIA model hadronization from e+e− up to pp collisions recent extension (Angantyr) to study AA collisions (*C. Bierlich et al., JHEP 10, 134 (2018)*)

The string breaking is modelled via Schwinger mechanism for QCD $\sim\!e^$ *k is the string tension* $\pi\,m_{\perp\it q}^2$ \overline{k}

high-p^T excitations and heavy quarks are produced by perturbative mechanisms (partonic scattering processes and parton showers) and not by string breaking

Leading Color ($N_c \rightarrow \infty$): Prob. of Local Color neutralization $\rightarrow 0$ *only "dipole" string configurations are allowed*

In Leading Color HF baryon only by [di-quark+HF] with HF as string end point

When string color reconnection is switched-on in pp according to SU(3) counting*: J. R. Christiansen and P. Z. Skands JHEP 08 (2015) 003*

• Very large baryon Λc, Σc enhancement

• not that relevant for D, similar to coalescence+fragmentation **Local reconnection** \rightarrow **string energy minimization** \rightarrow smaller invariant mass and breaking of long y correlation

PYTHIA *T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 05 (2006), 026*

C. Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2022 (2022), 8

PYTHIA model hadronization from e+e− up to pp collisions recent extension (Angantyr) to study AA collisions (*C. Bierlich et al., JHEP 10, 134 (2018)*)

S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll.] EPJC 80, no.8, 693 (2020)

The string breaking is modelled via Schwinger mechanism for QCD $\sim\!e^$ *k is the string tension* $\pi\,m_{\perp\it q}^2$ \overline{k} *high-p^T excitations and heavy quarks are*

produced by perturbative mechanisms (partonic scattering processes and parton showers) and not by string breaking

Leading Color ($N_c \rightarrow \infty$): Prob. of Local Color neutralization $\rightarrow 0$ *only "dipole" string configurations are allowed*

In Leading Color HF baryon only by [di-quark+HF] with HF as string end point

When string color reconnection is switched-on in pp according to SU(3) counting*: J. R. Christiansen and P. Z. Skands JHEP 08 (2015) 003*

- Very large baryon Λc, Σc enhancement
- not that relevant for D, similar to coalescence+fragmentation **Local reconnection** \rightarrow **string energy minimization** \rightarrow smaller invariant mass and breaking of long y correlation

Rope hadronization has been proposed to describe strangeness enhancement \rightarrow increase string tension in densely packed environments \rightarrow to higher strange-hadron production. *C.Bierlich, EPJ Web Conf. 171 (2018), 14003*

Coalescence approach in phase space

Coalescence approach in phase space for HQ

$$
f_H(...) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_q - 1} A_W \exp\left(-\frac{x_{ri}^2}{\sigma_{ri}^2} - p_{ri}^2 \sigma_{ri}^2\right)
$$

- Normalization in f_{W} (...) fixed by requiring $P_{\text{coal}}(p=0)=1$:others modify by hand σ_r to enforce confinement for a charm at rest in the medium
- \Diamond The charm not "coalescencing" undergo fragmentation:

$$
\frac{dN_{had}}{d^2p_T dy} = \sum \int dz \frac{dN_{fragm}}{d^2p_T dy} \frac{D_{had/c}(z, Q^2)}{z^2}
$$

charm number conserved at each $p_T^{}$, we have employed e⁺e⁻ FF now PYTHIA

S. Plumari, V. Minissale et al., Eur. Phys. J. **C78** no. 4, (2018) 348

Resonance Recombination Model (RRM)

L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, PLB 655, 126 (2007). L. Ravagli, et al.,, PRC 79, 064902 (2009).

$$
f_M(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_M(p)}{\Gamma_M} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_1 d^3 \vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3} f_q(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_1) f_{\bar{q}}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_2) \,\, \sigma_M(s) v_{\text{rel}}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2)
$$

The 3-body hadronization process in RRM are conducted in 2 steps

1 :quark-1 and quark-2 recombine into a diquark, $q1(p1) + q2(p2) \rightarrow dq(p12)$ **2:** the diquark recombines with quark-3 into a baryon $dq1(p12) + q3(p3) \rightarrow B$

$$
f_B(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_B}{\Gamma_B} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_1 d^3 \vec{p}_2 d^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\gamma_{dq}}{\Gamma_{dq}} f_1(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_1) f_2(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_2)
$$

$$
\times f_3(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_3) \sigma_{dq}(s_{12}) v_{rel}^{12} \sigma_B(s) v_{rel}^{dq^3} \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3)
$$

Recomb. according **not to a w.f. but to a Breit-Wigner** cross section (still a closeness in phase space constrained by $\Gamma_{\text{M-R}} \approx 100\text{-}300 \text{ MeV}$): → Assumed a set of additional RQMc-baryons [*as in SHM*] -Increased set of baryons for the Λ_c production: PDG: $5\Lambda_c$, $3\Sigma_c$, $8\Xi_c$, $2\Omega_c$ RQM: $18 \Lambda_c$, $42 \Sigma_c$, $62 \Sigma_c$, $34 \Omega_c$

 \rightarrow Similar effects to coalescence on R_{AA} and v2 of D & Λc Local phase-space recombination with strong: Space-Momentum-Correlation

Resonance Recombination Model (RRM)

L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, PLB 655, 126 (2007). L. Ravagli, et al.,, PRC 79, 064902 (2009).

$$
f_M(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_M(p)}{\Gamma_M} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_1 d^3 \vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3} f_q(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_1) f_{\bar{q}}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_2) \,\, \sigma_M(s) v_{\text{rel}}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2)
$$

The 3-body hadronization process in RRM are conducted in 2 steps

1 :quark-1 and quark-2 recombine into a diquark, $q1(p1) + q2(p2) \to dq(p12)$ **2:** the diquark recombines with quark-3 into a baryon $dq1(p12) + q3(p3) \rightarrow B$

$$
f_B(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\gamma_B}{\Gamma_B} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_1 d^3 \vec{p}_2 d^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\gamma_{dq}}{\Gamma_{dq}} f_1(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_1) f_2(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_2)
$$

$$
\times f_3(\vec{x}, \vec{p}_3) \sigma_{dq}(s_{12}) v_{rel}^{12} \sigma_B(s) v_{rel}^{dq^3} \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3)
$$

Recomb. according **not to a w.f. but to a Breit-Wigner** cross section (still a closeness in phase space costrained by ΓM-B ~ 100-300 MeV): → Assumed a set of additional RQMc-baryons [*as in SHM*] -Increased set of baryons for the Λ_c production: PDG: $5\Lambda_c$, $3\Sigma_c$, $8\Xi_c$, $2\Omega_c$ RQM: $18 \Lambda_c$, $42 \Sigma_c$, $62 \Sigma_c$, $34 \Omega_c$

 \rightarrow Similar effects to coalescence on R_{AA} and v2 of D & Λc Local phase-space recombination with strong: Space-Momentum-Correlation

POWLANG Local Color Neutralization *A. Beraudo et al., EPJC82(2022) [AA]*

A. Beraudo et al., PRD109(2024) [pp]

- HQ hadronization in the presence of a reservoir of lighter thermal particles:
- Recombination of the HQ with light antiquark or diquarks:
- Color-singlet clusters with low invariant mass **M** (**M**<4 GeV) are assumed to undergo an isotropic 2-body decay in their local rest-frame.
- Heavier clusters are instead fragmented as Lund strings.
- \circ Recombination with light diquarks \rightarrow enhances yields of charmed baryons.
- \circ The local color neutralization \rightarrow strong space-momentum correlation \rightarrow enhancement of the collective flow of the final charmed hadrons

Dense medium (pp &AA) → *local color statistical neutralization, gualitatively similar to PYTHIA with local CR* \rightarrow *smaller M qualitatively similar to Coalescence/Resonance Recombination*

Specific of the approach:

- *- Existence of thermal flowing diquarks*
- \sim *Very strong impact on v*₂(p_T) from $c \rightarrow D$, Λc
- *-* Large D_s production already in pp

POWLANG Local Color Neutralization *A. Beraudo et al., EPJC82(2022) [AA]*

A. Beraudo et al., PRD109(2024) [pp]

- HQ hadronization in the presence of a reservoir of lighter thermal particles:
- Recombination of the HQ with light antiquark or diquarks:
- Color-singlet clusters with low invariant mass **M** (M<4 GeV) are assumed to undergo an isotropic 2-body decay in their local rest-frame.
- Heavier clusters are instead fragmented as Lund strings.
- \circ Recombination with light diquarks \rightarrow enhances yields of charmed baryons.
- \circ The local color neutralization \rightarrow strong space-momentum correlation \rightarrow enhancement of the collective flow of the final charmed hadrons

Dense medium (pp &AA) → *local color statistical neutralization, <u>aualitatively similar to PYTHIA with local CR → smaller M*</u> *qualitatively similar to Coalescence/Resonance Recombination*

Specific of the approach:

- *- Existence of thermal flowing diquarks*
- \sim *Very strong impact on v*₂(p_T) from $c \rightarrow D$, Λc
- *-* Large D_s production already in pp

Statistical hadronization model (SHM)

Multiplicities of light-flavour hadrons well described by different implementation of SHM (Grand Canonical).

- Agreement over 9 orders of magnitude in central AA collisions at midrapidity.
- Tchem =156 MeV close to the pseudo critical temperature from lQCD and very small differences between differnt implementation of SHM

S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll], EPJ C 84 (2024) no.8, 813.

Thermal-FIST: V. Vovchenko et al., PRC 98 (2018) 034906, V. Vovchenko et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 244 (2019) 295 GSI-Heidelberg: A. Andronic et al., NPA 772 (2006), A. Andronic et al., PLB 792 (2019) 304, A. Andronic et al, JHEP 07 (2021) 035 [for HF]

SHARE: G. Torrieri et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 175 (2006) 635, M. Petran et al., Comput. Phys.Commun. 185 (2014) 2056–2079

THERMUS: S. Wheaton et al., arXiv:1108.4588, J. Cleymans et al. PRC 74 (2006) 034903.

Light baryon-to-meson production in AA

Catania: Boltzmann+Istantaneus Coal.+Fragm. *V. Minissale et al., PRC 92 (2015) 054904*

CoLBT: linear Boltzmann+hydro bulk+Coal+Fragm. *W. Zhao, et al. PRL 128 (2022) 022302*

EPOS: core (viscous hydro) + statistical hadronization + hadronic cascade. *K. Werner et al., PRC 89 (2014) 064903*

VISHNU: viscous hydro-> Cooper-Frye -> UrQMD *C. Shenet al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 199 (2016) 61*

- high-pT region ($>8-10$ GeV/c), particle yield ratios in Pb–Pb collisions match those in pp collisions, fragmentation dominates hadron formation.
- low-pT (<2 GeV/c), spectra are well described by $\frac{E}{\hat{p}}$ 0.8^r
hvdrodynamic models, consistent with an $\frac{1}{\hat{Q}}$ r hydrodynamic models, consistent with an equilibrium evolution of the system.
- Intermediate-pT region provides insight into hadron formation mechanisms, models with recombination capturs key data features.

Light baryon-to-meson production from pp to AA

- color ropes in PYTHIA (enhanced tension color flux tubes) good description of strangeness enhancement in high-multiplicity pp collisions (10% accuracy), but fails to accurately describe the p/π.
- SHM describe the relative increase in strangeness. Thermal FIST (canonical statistical model) describes most particle ratios up to 20% deviation.

Why does the proton-to-pion ratio show smaller dependence on multiplicity in LHC collisions?

Event-by-event production of multistrange hadrons

Measurement of both correlation and normalized second-order cumulants $\widehat{u_1}$ provides strong discriminative power against different model predictions.

$$
\frac{\kappa_2(\Delta \Xi)}{\kappa_1(\overline{\Xi}^+ + \Xi^-)} = \frac{\kappa_2(\overline{\Xi}^+) + \kappa_2(\Xi^-) - 2\kappa_{11}(\overline{\Xi}^+, \Xi^-)}{\langle n_{\overline{\Xi}^+} + n_{\Xi^-} \rangle}, \qquad \text{where}
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_2(A) = \langle n_A \rangle, \qquad \kappa_2(A) = \langle n_A \rangle^2,
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_{2}(A) = \langle n_A \rangle^2, \qquad \kappa_{2}(A) = \langle n_A \rangle^2, \qquad \kappa_{2}(A) = \langle n_A \rangle, \qquad \kappa_{2}(A
$$

- SHM (Canonical Ensemble) Thermal-FIST: The strangeness saturation parameter γ_s is included to account for incomplete strangeness equilibration at low multiplicities. SHM successfully describes both the normalized second-order cumulant and correlation ($\rho_{\Delta T\Delta K}$)
- PYTHIA 8 and Rope Hadronization: qualitatively predict negative correlations and second-order cumulant smaller than one, but overestimate it in low- and high-multiplicity regions.

How does the picture change at higher p_{τ} *where fragmentation is expected to be the dominant hadronization mechanism?*

How could higher-order cumulants reveal deviations from the thermal baseline? Missing calculation of coal.+fragm. approach

A systematic study including p, π, Ω, φ … can give more information about hadronization

Light flavour hadron v2(pT) in AA

Catania: Boltzmann+Istantaneus Coal.+Fragm. *V. Minissale et al., PRC 92 (2015) 054904*

CoLBT: linear Boltzmann+hydro bulk+Coal+Fragm. *W. Zhao, et al. PRL 128 (2022) 022302*

EPOS: core (viscous hydro) + statistical hadronization + hadronic cascade. *K. Werner et al., PRC 89 (2014) 064903*

VISHNU: viscous hydro-> Cooper-Frye -> UrQMD *C. Shenet al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 199 (2016) 61*

- EPOS3: hadronic cascade stage (UrQMD), are crucial for descrption of v $_2({\sf p}_{\sf T})$. EPOS3 successfully reproduces mass-ordering up to $p_T = 2-3$ GeV.
- Model with recombination and fragmentation (Catania and CoLBT), describe $v_2(p_T)$ over a broad p_T range, from hydro like behavior at low $p_{_{\mathsf{T}}}$ to fragmentation at high p_T .
- CoLBT simulations that exclude the coalescence process underestimate $v_2(p_T)$ for $p_T > 4$ GeV.

S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll], EPJ C 84 (2024) no.8, 813.

Impact of Quark Coalescence on v² (p^T) in pA and pp

Heavy flavour (c,b) from p, pA, AA

Grand canonical SHM + Frag. In pp

[*but assuming Vcorr with linear evolution with Ntracks]*

Grand canonical SHM + Frag. In pp

• from e+e- to pp Canonical Suppression [*but assuming Vcorr with linear evolution with Ntracks]*

6

8

-RQM

PDG

10

12

Small systems: Coalescence in pp? (Charm hadrons)

Data taken from: ALICE coll. JHEP 04 (2018) 108 $\frac{1}{4}$ Λ_c/D^0 : ALICE
 $\frac{1}{4}$ Λ_c/D^0 : LHCb pp 7 TeV, 2<y<4.5 0.8 \rightarrow D_s/D⁰: ALICE Λ_o°/D^0 coal.+fragm. 0.7 $\tilde{\Lambda_c/D}^0$ fragm D_s/D^0 coal.+fragm. 0.6 $D_s/D⁰$ fragm. 0.5 0.4 0.3 $0.$ 0.1 LHC: pp $@5.02$ TeV 0.0 10 p_T [GeV]

V. Minissale et al., Phys.Lett.B 821 (2021) 136622

Error band correspond to <r²> uncertainty in quark model

- -Damping of rise-and-fall behaviour in Λ_c / D⁰ ratio:
- -Comparison with AA: Coal. contribution smaller w.r.t. Fragm.
- Coalescence does not affect significantly D⁰ but is dominant for baryons Λ_c and Ξ_c
- More abundant the coalescence contribution for B even in pp, Minissale et al., 2405.19244

Possible role of diquarks in AA and pp?

- Catania-coal & SHM-RQM/QCM natural good description of Σ_c/D^0 and $\Lambda_c \leftarrow \Sigma_c$

- PYTHIA-CR too many $\Sigma_c \rightarrow \Lambda_c/D^0$; associated to a suppression of junction **diquark l=1** (set $\sim e^+e^-$ for string di-quark). Removing it \rightarrow Agreeement to data of $\Lambda_c \leftarrow \Sigma_c$
	- It goes in the direction of simply recombine according to $SU(3)$ \sim simple colaescence

Possible role of diquarks in AA and pp?

Coal. Approaches (*Catania, LBT, EPOS4HQ… RR-TAMU*) → **v² (**Λ**^c)> v² (D⁰)** at pT> 2 GeV because Λ**^c** gets flow from 2 light quarks, **D⁰** from 1+fragm.

POWLANG assume diquark hydrodynamical flow and Λ _c =(qq)+c → **v**₂(Λ _c)~ **v**₂(D⁰) at intermediate pT

• The (us) and (ds) diquarks are more compact and exhibit stronger binding energy than (ud) diquarks.

 \rightarrow enhanced production of \equiv c and D particles in high-energy pp \rightarrow enhancement in Ξc/D0

- Similar enhancements expected in pPb or PbPb collisions?
- Possible impact in the strangeness enhancement for light flavour K, Λ?

HF baryon/meson in pp: rapidity dependence

-
- EPOS4HQ**+coal** close to data (rapidity dependence?). At y=0 Catania results
- SHM +RQM about close, less the pT shape (Frag.-Function)
- Coal./Fragm. ratio in pp larger for B than D

HF baryon/meson in pp: rapidity dependence

- Again Need CR in PYTHIAà seems too strong at forward (no rapidity dependence)
- EPOS4HQ**+coal** close to data (rapidity dependence?). At y=0 Catania results
- SHM +RQM about close, less the pT shape (Frag.-Function)
- Coal./Fragm. ratio in pp larger for B than D
- PYTHIA 8 does not predict rapidity-dependence a discrepancy with LHCb measurements at forward rapidity.
- *Coalescence affect the rapidity dependence of the baryon-to-meson ratio of charm or beauty hadrons?*
- *Do the coal.+fragm. model also describe measurements at forward rapidity? Dominance of fragm.?*

Strangeness in pp for HF sector

- POWLANG/LCN too high, but the approach has only recombination also for mesons
- PYTHIA-CR seems to have a lack of strangeness [see also Ξc]

HF baryon/meson in pp pA AA

Advantages of implementing coal. in **EPOS4**:

- Full dynamical realistic dynamics from ep, pp to AA
- *J. Zhao et al., PRD 109, no.5, 054011 (2024)*
- *J. Zhao et al., PRC 110, no.2, 024909 (2024)*

difference in coal. wrt Catania: Assume RQM states like in SHM

- **Able to predict also a sizeable elliptic flows in pp**

 \rightarrow more solid costraints to hadronization and the properties of the pp QCD matter created.

 \rightarrow v2(Λ_c)/v2(D^o) would give more insight into coal.

Would PYHTIA-CR predict finite v2 of D, Λ^c in pp? String shoving?

Multi-charm in PbPb - KrKr – ArAr -OO

Braun-Munzinger, Stachel, PLB 490 (2000) 196*Statistical Thermal Model (SHM) + charm(SHMc)* Yield per spin d.o. Pb-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =2.76 TeV $10³$ central collisions $10²$ **grand canonical partition function** 10 chemical potential \leftrightarrow *V gⁱ* ∞ 2*π* 2 ∫ 2 *dp*l n[1± exp(− (*Ei*[−] *μⁱ*)/*T*)] conservation quantum numbers $\ln Z_i$ = [±] *p* $10^{\hbox{--}1}$ $\frac{J/\psi}{J}$ (N_B, N_S, N_c) 0 10^{-2} **Equilibrium + hadron-resonance gas + freeze-out temperature.** Data ($|y|$ <0.5), ALICE 10^{-3} Production depends on hadron masses and degeneracy, and on system properties. • particles 10^{-4} antiparticles *Charm hadrons according to thermal weights* 10^{-5} Statistical Hadronization (T=156.5 MeV) the *total charm content* of the fireball is fixed by the *measured open charm cross section*. ⁴He total (+decays; +initial charm) 10^{-6} 1 $N_{c\bar{c}}^{\mathit{dir}}$ $=$ $\frac{1}{2}g_c V(\sum_i n_{D_i}^{th}+n_{N_{c_i}}^{th})+g_c^2 V(\sum_i n_{\psi_i}^{th}+n_{X_i}^{th})$ primordial (thermal) 10^{-} 2.5 3.5 $1.5\,$ pQCD production $N_{c,anti-c} = 9.6 \rightarrow g_c = 30.1$ (charm fugacity) Mass (GeV) $\frac{1}{100}$ 0.8 Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, 0-10% Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, 30-50% = d^2N/dy dp $\overline{p}_{\overline{p}}$ (Ge) D^0 , $|y| < 0.5$ 0.6 **Andronic et al.,** 10^{-7} 0.5 **JHEP 07 (2021) 035** 0.4 10^{-2} $0.3 \vDash$ D^+ / D^0 , |v| < 0.5 10^{-3} $0.2E$ JHEP 01 (2022) 174 $D^{\star +}/D^0$, $|y| < 0.5$ ALICE data **SHMc yields+blast wave** 0.1 SHMc + FastReso + corona SHMc + FastReso + corona ■ JHEP 01 (2022) 174 10^{-4} $rac{1}{2}$ 1.4
 $rac{1}{2}$ 1.2 d^2N/dy dp p_T (GeV⁻¹) **→p^T spectra** Λ_c/D^0 , $|y| < 0.5$ 10^{-7} Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, 30-50% D_s^{+}/D^0 , $|y| < 0.5$ Phys. Lett. B 827 (2022) 136986 arXiv:2112.08156 [nucl-ex] Λ_{c} , $|y| < 0.5$ 10^{-2} 10^{-3} 0.8 0.6 10^{-4} 0.4 10^{-5} 0.2 8 10 12 14 հ $\overline{10}$ 12 10 12 14 14 p_{τ} (GeV) p_{τ} (GeV) p_{τ} (GeV)

Statistical Thermal Model (SHM) + charm(SHMc)

grand canonical partition function

 $\ln Z_i$ = *V gⁱ* 2*π* 2 ∫ 0 ∞ [±] *p* 2 *dp*l n[1± exp(− (*Ei*[−] *μⁱ*)/*T*)] chemical potential \leftrightarrow conservation quantum numbers (N_B, N_S, N_c)

Equilibrium + hadron-resonance gas + freeze-out temperature.

Production depends on hadron masses and degeneracy, and on system properties.

Charm hadrons according to thermal weights

the *total charm content* of the fireball is fixed by the *measured open charm cross section*.

 $N_{c\bar{c}}^{\mathit{dir}}$ $=$ 1 $\frac{1}{2}g_c V(\sum_i n_{D_i}^{th}+n_{N_{c_i}}^{th})+g_c^2 V(\sum_i n_{\psi_i}^{th}+n_{X_i}^{th})$ pQCD production $N_{c,anti-c} = 9.6 \rightarrow g_c = 30.1$ (charm fugacity)

 M ass (Ga)

Yields in PbPb from coalescence vs SHM Yelds in PbPb: coalescence vs SHM

V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, *Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)* Pb-Pb 5.02 TeV 0-10% |y|<0.5 10¹ $10¹$ $10⁰$ $10⁰$ 10^{-1} 10^{-1} J/v $\sum_{10^{-3}}^{10^{-2}}$ 10^{-2} 10^{-3} 10^{-4} 10^{-4} $\Omega_{\rm ccc}$ α coalescence+fragmentation

→ SHM+corona, dσ_{cc}/dy=0.532 mb, PDG

→ SHM; dσ_{cc}/dy=0.63 mb, enh. c-baryons 10^{-5} 10^{-5} $\frac{1}{5}$ 10⁻⁶ -6 10 $\overline{2}$ 2.5 3.5 4.5 5 1.5 3 Mass (GeV)

 \rightarrow upper limit: charm thermal distribution

 \rightarrow lower limit: PbPb distribution with widths rescaled as standard Harm. Oscill. (ω from Ω_c^0)

We employ same volume in SHM A. Andronic JHEP (2021) 035

, widths from quark model ^Ξ*cc ,*Ω*cc* widths obtained rescaling with harm. oscillator Σ*^c* $_{c}^{0},\Xi_{c}^{0},\Omega_{c}^{0}$

Yelds in PbPb: coalescence

V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, *Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)*

D⁰ and $Λ_c$ determine the yield, the radius variation is compensated by the constraint on the charm hadronization

A \pm 50% in the radius of Ω_{ccc} induces **a change in the yield by about 1 order of magnitude**

$$
V(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) = \sum_{i < j} V_{cc}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j). \qquad V_{c\bar{c}}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = -\frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|} + \sigma |\mathbf{r}_{ij}|,
$$

Solve the 3-body problem by a 1-body in higher dimensions hyperspherical coordinates method

 $\left[\frac{1}{2m_c}\left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}-\frac{5}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right)+v(r)\right]\varphi(r)=E\varphi(r)$ $W(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = \int d^6 \mathbf{y} e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \psi \left(\mathbf{r} + \frac{\mathbf{y}}{2} \right) \psi^* \left(\mathbf{r} - \frac{\mathbf{y}}{2} \right)$ $W(r,p,\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int d^6\mathbf{y} e^{-ipy_1} \varphi \left(r_y^+ \right) \varphi^* \left(r_y^- \right),$

$$
\frac{dN}{d^2 \mathbf{P}_T d\eta} = C \int_{\Sigma} \frac{P^{\mu} d\sigma_{\mu}(R)}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^4 r_x d^4 r_y d^4 p_x d^4 p_y}{(2\pi)^6} \times F(\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{r}_3, \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \tilde{p}_3) W(r_x, r_y, p_x, p_y),
$$

 Ω_{ccc} <r>=0.5 fm & $\sigma_r \cdot \sigma_p \approx 1.5$ similar to Tsinghua PLB746 (2015)

Ratios of pT distribution Ωccc in PbPb/KrKr/ArAr/OO

V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, *Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)*

- *It can be a meter of non-equilibrium.*
- *Translation of features of charm spectra at low pT in to higher momentum region.*
- *More sensitive of multicharm Ωccc/D⁰ with respect to Λ^c / D0*

Assessment of some of open issues

- Measurement of correlations, second-order and high order cumulants of Strange, multi-strange and charmed hadrons provides strong discriminative power against different model.
- Rapidity evolution of light and heavy flavor baryon/meson, v_n(p_T) [most work at y~0]
- Polarization possible new window for further tests of coalescence and fragmentation
- Extension to bottom + reduced data error bars, will show similar agreement? $\Lambda_{\sf b}$ /B⁰ , $\Xi_{\sf b}$ /B⁰, $\Omega_{\sf b}$ /B⁰ further constraint to the hadronization mechanism
- Coal./Fragm. dominance of coal. in Λc? a probe large $v_2(D)$ / $v_2(Ac)$ vs pT
- di-quark role in Ξc/D0 ? need smaller error at low pT System size scan of $\ \Xi_{\text{c}}/\mathsf{D}^0$, $\Omega_{\text{c}}/\mathsf{D}^0$ further constraint to the hadronization mechanism? hydro flowing diquarks? $v_2(\Lambda c)$ - $v_2(D)$ at intermediate pT
- PDG/RQM resonances ...
- **Multicharm baryon** production (ALICE3): Ωccc yield large sensitivity to charm kinetic equilibration and its wave function width

Polarization

1

3

S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll.], PRL 125 (2020) no.1, 012301

Hadronization underlying the interpretation for

light hadrons, open HF and quarkonia

S. Acharya et al. [ALICE coll.], PRL 131 (2023) no.4, 042303

Small systems: Coalescence in pp? (Bottom hadrons)

Data from: A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS), PRL 119, 152301 (2017).

- Pcoal of bottom is flatter than Pcoal of charm -> Coal. greater impact on bottom hadron production
- B meson production at pT < 5 GeV mainly from Coal
- Ab production mainly from Coal. for $pT < 10$ GeV

Small systems: Coalescence in pp? (Bottom hadrons)

V. Minissale et al, arXiv:[2405.19244](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.19244) [hep-ph]

 0.0

 Ω

9

 p_T (GeV)

 $\overline{2}$

6

 p_T (GeV)

9 10

Yields in PbPb from coalescence vs SHM Yelds in PbPb: coalescence vs SHM

V. Minissale, S. Plumari, Y. Sun and V. Greco, *Eur. Phys. J. C 84, no.3, 228 (2024)*

 $_{c}^{0},\Xi_{c}^{0},\Omega_{c}^{0}$, widths from quark model $\sum_{c}^{U}, \sum_{c}^{U}, \Omega_{c}^{U}$, widths from quark model
 Ξ_{cc}, Ω_{cc} widths obtained rescaling with harm. oscillator

$$
\sigma_{ri} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1 \omega}} \qquad \mu_1 = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}; \mu_2 = \frac{(m_1 + m_2) m_3}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}
$$

 \rightarrow upper limit: charm thermal distribution \rightarrow lower limit: PbPb distribution with widths rescaled as standard Harm. Oscill. (ω from Ω_c^0)

Small systems

Traditional view:

- **QGP in Pb+Pb**
- **no QGP in p+p ("baseline")**

R. D. Weller, P. Romatschke PLB 774 (2017) 351-356

- **Too few particles, cannot be collective**
- **System not in equilibrium**

ALICE Coll.,PRL 111 (2013) 222301 ALICE Coll.,J. Phys.: Conf.Ser. 509 (2014) 012091 ALICE Coll.NPA 956 (2016) 777-780.

Fragmentation:

production from hard-scattering processes (PDF+pQCD).

Fragmentation functions assumed "universal"

- Indication that fragmentation depends on the collision system
- Assumption of their universality not supported by the measured cross sections

