Future Facilities: The EIC

The ePIC detector - From physcis motivation to a viable detector concept

Friederike Bock (ORNL)

March 30, 2023



HP 2023, Aschaffenburg, Germany

Back to the basics!

Where we are:

- Elastic lepton scattering determined the nucleon's charge & magnetism distributions in sphere with $\langle r_{ch} \rangle \approx 0.84$ fm
- Large fraction of momentum in proton (x) carried by 3 valence quarks (2u,d), but very small fraction of proton spin
- Nucleons contain additional dynamically generated quark-antiquark pairs & gluons each carrying low fraction of momentum
- Quark & gluon longitudinal momentum fractions well mapped out
- Nucleon spin & mass have large contributions from quark-gluon dynamics, described by QCD



Proton
early 1900s



Proton
1975



Proton
2015



Proton
in a nucleus





How did we learn this?

Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)



$$Q^2 = s \cdot x \cdot y$$

- s center-of-mass energy squared
- Q^2 resolution power
- x the fraction of the nucleon's momentum carried by the struck quarks ($0 < x < 1$)
- y inelasticity



- As a probe, electron beams provide unmatched precision of the electromagnetic interaction
- Event-by-event, model independent leading order determination of parton kinematics including sensitivity to particle's spin is possible
- Data at higher Q^2 obtained indirectly from hadron-collider measurements



[FOCAL LOI]

What we don't know yet

- The 3D distributions of sea quarks & gluons and their spins in nucleon
- How do the nucleon mass & spin emerge from them and their interactions?
- The details of interactions of color-charged quarks and gluons with a nuclear medium
- How are nuclear bindings and hadronic states created from quark, gluons and their interactions?
- How does a dense nuclear environment affect the quarks and gluons and their interactions?
- The gluon density in nuclei
- Is there a Color Glass Condensate?















EIC vs **HERA**

Machine parameters

- **Center-of-mass energy**: 20 - 140 (318) GeV
  - electrons: 2.5 - 18 (27.5) GeV
  - protons: 40- 275 (920) GeV (ions: $Z/A \times E_p$)
- **Luminosity**: 10^{34} (10^{31}) cm^{-2} s^{-1}
- **Polarization**: up to 70% (e & ion) (only $e^{\pm}$ up to 60%)
- **Ion species**: p $\rightarrow$ U ($A > 1$ only in fixed target)
- **Detectors**:
  - full coverage: 2 (2)
  - fixed target: 0 (2 - limited far-forward coverage)

EIC



[EIC CDR]

Start date: ~ 2031
Location: BNL
Budget: ~ $2.4 billion

HERA



[HERA proposal]

EIC will have:

- lower energy
- variable C-o-M energy w/o significant loss in luminosity
- higher luminosity

\+ Hadron polarization
\+ Nuclear beams
\+ Modern detector(s)

Generalized detector design considerations



- Large rapidity coverage for central detector
- Specialized far-forward detectors for p kinematics measurements
- High precision low mass tracking
- Hermetic coverage of tracking, electromagnetic & hadronic calorimetry
- High performance single track PID for π, K, p separation

- Large acceptance for diffraction, tagging, neutrons from nuclear breakup
  many auxillary detectors integrated in beam line: low-Q^2 tagger, Roman pots, ZDCs . . .
- High control of systematics
  luminosity monitors, electron & hadron polarimetry



Highly integrated design between detector and machine for IR

[EIC YR]

The detector design process

Define physics objectives & generic design parameters



2012



2015



2017



2020

Realistic machine & detector concepts



Feb. 2021





Dec. 2021

- Detector & machine design driven by physics objectives
- Jan. 2020: BNL site selection
- Extensive generic detector R&D for EIC for PID, tracking & calorimetry
- YR outlines general detector requirements for benchmark physics observables
- Mar. 2021: Call for Detector Proposals
- Mar. 2022: ECCE chosen as reference design for detector 1
- **Jul. 2022: ePIC collaboration**
- **now: TDR preparations**

The detector design process

Define physics objectives & generic design parameters



2012



2015



2017



2020

Realistic machine & detector concepts



Feb. 2021





Dec. 2021

- Detector & machine design driven by physics objectives
- Jan. 2020: BNL site selection
- Extensive generic detector R&D for EIC for PID, tracking & calorimetry
- YR outlines general detector requirements for benchmark physics observables
- Mar. 2021: Call for Detector Proposals
- Mar. 2022: ECCE chosen as reference design for detector 1
- **Jul. 2022: ePIC collaboration**
- **now: TDR preparations**



Tracker layout



Technology mix

- **ITS3 MAPS based Si-detectors**:
  $\sigma = 10\mu\text{m}$, $X/X_0 \sim 0.05 - 0.55\%/\text{layer}$
- **Gaseous tracker**:
  $\sigma = 55\ \mu\text{m}$, $X/X_0 \sim 0.2\%/\text{layer}$
- **AC-LGADs**:
  $\sigma = 30\ \mu\text{m}$, $X/X_0 \sim 1.5 - 6\%/\text{layer}$

- **mid-rapidity:**
  Ultra thin MAPS based Si-detectors, gaseous detectors & AC-LGADs
- **Forward and Backward:**
  MAPS based Silicon discs & AC-LGADs
- Outer layers placed to provide seeds for tracking & ideal track points before/after PID detectors
- New Magnet with BABAR dimensions B = 1.7-2T







Tracking performance





E. Yeats, R. Cruz-Torres, N. Schmidt, S. Maple

- Stringent requirements from Yellow Report for electron resolution
- Backward momentum resolution requirement hard to meet, complemented by calorimetric resolution
- YR requirement assumes Calorimetry & Tracking need to fullfill requirements independently
- Rapidly evolving tracker design, including background and pattern recognition

Cherenkov-PID

pfRICH



mRICH



hpDIRC



dRICH



- Optimized for charged pion, kaon & proton separation
- Particular focus on large η coverage
- Complemented by calorimetry & TOF
- Geometries optimized to fit ECCE baseline design while maintaining required performance
- Two alternatives for backward region, pfRICH & mRICH

$\Rightarrow$ Global optimization process ongoing

[ATHENA prop] [ECCE prop]

Cherenkov-PID

pfRICH



mRICH



hpDIRC



dRICH



Review 20.-21.3.
decision anticipated soon









[ATHENA prop] [ECCE prop]

Time of flight (TOF)







- Analog Coupled - Low Gain Avalanche Detectors (AC-LGADs) with 25 ps time resolution resolution
- Combined PID & tracking detector
- Positions optimized for low momentum e/π, π/K, K/p separation
- Full η-coverage for simultaneous start time determination
- Alternative barrel design with less X/X_0

O. Hartbrich, N. Schmidt, Z. Ye

Electromagnetic Calorimetry (1)

[ECCE prop]





P. Wang, M. Zurek, D. Kalinkin, Z. Ji

Optimization criteria

- Minimal acceptance gaps
- Scattered electron detection & identification (energy resolution & E/p)
- Shower separation within jets & good energy resolution (h-endcap)
- Most stringent constraints in e-endcap & barrel
- h-endcap with high granularity & good energy resolution

Electromagnetic Calorimetry (2)

EEMC



BEMC



FEMC



Review 13.-14.3.
decision anticipated soon

Endcap regions:

- **EEMC** - homogenous high resolution $PbWO_4$ crystal ECal
- **FEMC** - highly granular W-Scintilating Fiber calalorimeter

Barrel region - alternatives:

- **Sci-Glass:** homogenous, projective Sci-Glass ECal
- **Imaging:** 6 layers of 0.5x0.5mm Astro-Pix Silicon layers, interleaved with Pb-SciFi calorimeter

Hadronic Calorimetry

- Designed to complement tracking in Particle-Flow algorithm
- **OHCAL/IHCAL**
  - Fe/Scint sampling calorimeter
  - partial sPHENIX re-use & magnet flux return
- **LFHCAL**
  - Fe/Scint & W/Scint sampling calorimeter
  - Highly segmented (7 long. segments)
  - W-segment as colimator
- High granularity inserts under discussion for forward E&HCal to extend η coverage to $\eta = 4$
- Electron end-cap HCAL as neutral veto, shallow Fe/Scint calo

OHCAL





LFHCAL



	Barrel HCal	LFHCAL
η	[-1 .. 1]	[1 .. 4]
σ_E/E	~75%/√E + 15%*	~ 43% √E + 5.5%
depth	~4-5 λ_I	~7-8 λ_I

*Based on prototype beam tests and earlier experiments

[ECCE prop]

Far-forward Region



Detector	Acceptance
Zero-Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)	$\theta < 5.5$ mrad ($\eta > 6$)
Roman Pots (2 stations)	$0 < \theta < 5.0$ mrad ($\eta > 6$)
Off-Momentum Detectors (2 stations)	$\theta < 5.0$ mrad ($\eta > 6$)
B0 detector	$5.5 < \theta < 20.0$ mrad ($4.6 < \eta < 5.9$)

- **B0 system** for charged-particle measurement in forward direction & neutral-particle tagging
- **off-momentum detectors** measure charged particles with different rigidity than the beam, e.g., those following decay and fission.
- **roman pot detectors** charged particles measurement close to beam envelope
- **zero-degree calorimeter** measures neutral particles at small angles.

[ECCE prop]

Far-backward Region



Luminosity Monitor

e + p → e γ p

e + Au → e γ Au

AC-LGAD and $PbWO_4$ ECal to provide $\delta L/L \sim 1\%$ or rel. L determination exceeding 10^{-4} precision



Low Q2-tagger

clean photo-production signal for $10^{-3} < Q^2 < 10^{-1}$

- Double-layer AC-LGAD tracker at 24 & 37m from IP
- $PbWO_4$ ECal (20cm x 2cm^2 crystals)



- This area is designed to measure scattered electrons at small, far-backward angles
- Strong technology synergies with central detector systems

[EIC YR] [ECCE prop]

How to access partons at EIC

Neutral current (SI)DIS



Charged current DIS



Neutral current (SI)DIS

- Detect scattered lepton (DIS) in coincidence with identified hadrons (SIDIS)
  - measure correlation between different hadrons as fct. of p_T, z, η
  - needs FF to correlate hadron type with parton

Charged current DIS - W-exchange

- direct access to the quark flavor no FF – complementary to SIDIS

Jets

- best observable to access parton kinematics
- tag partons through the sub-processes and jet substructure
  - di-jets: relative $p_T \rightarrow$ correlated to k_T
  - tag on PDF





New Simulations

Ralf Seidl

Jets



Nucleon Spin

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar = \left\langle P,\frac{1}{2}\left| J^z_{QCD} \right| P,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \overbrace{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 dx\Delta\Sigma(x,Q^2)}^{\text{total quark spin}} + \overbrace{\int_0^1 dx\Delta G(x,Q^2)}^{\text{gluon spin}} + \overbrace{\int_0^1 dx\left(\sum_q L_q^z + L_g^z\right)}^{\text{angular momentum}}$$

- quark contribution: integral of g_1 over x from 0 to 1
- gluon contribution: $dg_1(x, Q^2)/dlnQ^2 \rightarrow \Delta g(x, Q^2)$
- Measured through DIS cross section asymmetry in oppositely polarized collisions
- Improved constraints on the spin of quarks/gluons
  $\Rightarrow$ Constrain contribution of orbital angular momentum (OAM) of partons to proton spin
- Collisions with polarized deuterons/helium-3
  $\Rightarrow$ Access to neutron spin





New Simulations

Charlotte v. Hulse

Imaging Nuclei

Diffractive vector meson production



DGLAP

- predicts Q^2 but not A-dependence and x-dependence

Saturation models

- predict A-dependence and x-dependence but not Q^2

Need: large Q^2 lever-arm for fixed x, A-scan

- Measure different structure function in eA $\rightarrow$ constrain nPDF
- Does the nucleus behave like a proton at low-x?
- Diffractive J/ψ production for imaging nucleus
- Diffractive ϕ/ρ production as saturation probe







Kong Tu

New Simulations

Imaging Nuclei

Diffractive vector meson production





DGLAP

- predicts Q^2 but not A-dependence and x-dependence

Saturation models

- predict A-dependence and x-dependence but not Q^2

Need: large Q^2 lever-arm for fixed x, A-scan

- Measure different structure function in eA $\rightarrow$ constrain nPDF
- Does the nucleus behave like a proton at low-x?
- Diffractive J/ψ production for imaging nucleus
- Diffractive ϕ/ρ production as saturation probe



New Simulations



Kong Tu



The EIC is coming fast!





Exiting times ahead! Join us!

Thank you!

Back-up



2+1 dimensional Imaging of Quarks & Gluons





Nuclear Femtography

- Structure mapped in terms of:
  b_T = transverse position
  k_T = transverse momentum
- use different processes to access different aspects of distribution functions

- **PDFs**: (SI)DIS cross sections
- **GPDs**: Deep Exclusive Scattering (DES) cross sections like: deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) $\gamma^\star + p \to \gamma + p$ or production of a vector meson $\gamma^\star + p \to V + p$
  Spin-dependent 2+1D coordinate space images
- **TMDs**: SIDIS cross sections
  Spin-dependent 3D momentum space images

[EIC YR]

Color Glass Condensate?



- e interacts over distances $L \sim (2mNx)^{-1}$
- For $L > 2R_A \sim A^{1/3}$ probe cannot distinguish between nucleons in front or back
- Probe interacts coherently with all nucleons

$\Rightarrow$ **Enhancement of Q_s with $A \rightarrow$ non-linear QCD regime reached at significantly lower energy in A than in proton**

Di-Hadron or Di-Jet Correlations

- Low p/A gluon n density (ep): pQCD $2 \rightarrow 2$ process predicts
  $\Rightarrow$ back-to-back di-jet
- High gluon density (eA): $2 \rightarrow$ many process
  $\Rightarrow$ expect broadening of away-side
- **EIC allows to study the evolution of Q_s with x**



[EIC YR]

Kinematic Coverage

Collins & Sivers



DVCS



polarized DIS

[EIC YR]





Accelerator gives access to extensive kinematic range

⇒ Now we need a detector to match

Luminosity dependence - Main measurements



design luminosity:

$L = 10^{34}$ cm^{-2} s^{-1}

$\int$ Ldt $= 100$ fb^{-1} per year

$\int$ Ldt **1 fb^{-1}**

inclusive DIS

- measure scattered electron
- → precision EM-Calorimetry
- multi-dimensional binning: x, Q^2
- → maximize x, Q^2 coverage & determines interaction region design

10 fb^{-1}

semi-inclusive DIS

- measure scattered electron in coincidence with identified hadrons
- multi-dimensional binning: x, Q^2, z, θ, p_T
- → maximize PID detector coverage in whole phase space

10-100 fb^{-1}

Exclusive processes

- measure all particles in event
- → hermetic tracking + hadronic calorimetry
- multi-dimensional binning: x, Q^2, z, θ, p_T
- measure proton kinematics
- → strong constraints on far-forward detector & interaction region

Diffractive Vector Meson Production