Photo-nuclear Jet Production in Ultra-Peripheral Pb+Pb Collisions at 5.02 TeV with the ATLAS Detector

Ben Gilbert

ATLAS-CONF-2022-021

ATLAS EXPERIMENT

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Introduction: Photo-nuclear Jet Production

In ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions, the intense electromagnetic fields provide a flux of quasi-real photons.



Direct



Resolved

Introduction: Photo-nuclear Jet Production

In ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions, the intense electromagnetic fields provide a flux of quasi-real photons.



Those photons can scatter off of nuclear partons.

Introduction: Photo-nuclear Jet Production

In ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions, the intense electromagnetic fields provide a flux of quasi-real photons.

"Direct" photons scatter off the nucleus.

"Resolved" photons scatter through a virtual hadronic excitation of the photon.



Those photons can scatter off of nuclear partons.

Introduction: Photo-nuclear Jet Production

In ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions, the intense electromagnetic fields provide a flux of quasi-real photons.

“Direct” photons scatter off the nucleus.

“Resolved” photons scatter through a virtual hadronic excitation of the photon.



Direct



Resolved



Jet kinematics provide access to hard-scattering kinematics, directly probing nuclear PDF effects.

$$H_T = \sum_i p_T^i$$

$$x_A = \frac{M_{jets} e^{-y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

$$z_\gamma = \frac{M_{jets} e^{+y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

Those photons can scatter off of nuclear partons.

Introduction: Nuclear PDFs at Low-x

- Nuclear Parton Distribution Functions (nPDFs) are important for precision measurements of a number of physical observables.

- They are poorly constrained at low-x and intermediate Q^2 due to a lack of available data.
  - 100 GeV² $< Q^2 <$ 1000 GeV² has very little constraint.
  - Nuclear shadowing at low-x in this region is of particular theoretical interest.

- Photo-nuclear jet production provides a clean probe of this kinematic region, similar to DIS:
  - Proposal by [Strikman, Vogt, and White (2005)]
  - Test of sensitivity (right) by [Helenius (2018)]



Selecting Photo-nuclear Jet Events

Event Selections | Raw Yields | Unfolding | Systematic Uncertainty | Final Results

<u>Event Selections</u>



Selecting Photo-nuclear Jet Events

Event Selections | Raw Yields | Unfolding | Systematic Uncertainty | Final Results

<u>Event Selections</u>

- 0nXn requirement for nuclear breakup in exactly <u>one</u> ATLAS Zero-Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)





Selecting Photo-nuclear Jet Events

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results



<u>Event Selections</u>

- 0nXn requirement for nuclear breakup in exactly <u>one</u> ATLAS Zero-Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)
- Large rapidity gaps on one side of the detector
  - To veto $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, also require $\Delta\eta_{A}^{edge} < 3$.





Selecting Photo-nuclear Jet Events

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results



<u>Event Selections</u>

- 0nXn requirement for nuclear breakup in exactly <u>one</u> ATLAS Zero-Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)
- Large rapidity gaps on one side of the detector
  - To veto $\gamma\gamma \to q\bar{q}$, also require $\Delta\eta_A^{edge} < 3$.





Selecting Photo-nuclear Jet Events

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results

<u>Event Selections</u>

- 0nXn requirement for nuclear breakup in exactly <u>one</u> ATLAS Zero-Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)
- Large rapidity gaps on one side of the detector
  - To veto $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, also require $\Delta\eta_A^{edge} < 3$.
- At least two Particle-Flow jets with $p_T > 15$ GeV.

Selecting at least two jets allows access to the hard-scattering kinematics.



$$\boxed{H_T = \sum_i p_T^i}$$

$$\boxed{x_A = \frac{M_{jets} e^{-y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}}$$

$$\boxed{z_\gamma = \frac{M_{jets} e^{+y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}}$$





Importance of Forward Neutrons: *XnXn* Events

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results

The photo-nuclear jet requirements select events with very high-energy photons.



Importance of Forward Neutrons: *XnXn* Events

Event Selections | Raw Yields | Unfolding | Systematic Uncertainty | Final Results

The photo-nuclear jet requirements select events with very high-energy photons.

- $E_\gamma \propto 1/b \rightarrow$ Biases towards lower impact parameter collisions
- Much higher probability of breakup due to additional EM interactions





Importance of Forward Neutrons: *XnXn* Events

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results

The photo-nuclear jet requirements select events with very high-energy photons.

- $E_{\gamma} \propto 1/b$ → Biases towards lower impact parameter collisions
- Much higher probability of breakup due to additional EM interactions



Studies of dijet events with large gaps on one side estimate about 50% of photo-nuclear jet production breaks up!



Importance of Forward Neutrons: *XnXn* Events

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results

This theoretical model for breakup is used to compare theory to data.

The photo-nuclear jet requirements select events with very high-energy photons.

- $E_\gamma \propto 1/b$ → Biases towards lower impact parameter collisions
- Much higher probability of breakup due to additional EM interactions



Studies of dijet events with large gaps on one side estimate about 50% of photo-nuclear jet production breaks up!

Basic theoretical modeling predicts an even higher rate.



Importance of Forward Neutrons: *0n0n* Events

ATLAS has observed inclusive jet production in UPCs without nuclear breakup (0n0n).

Gaps are required on both sides of the detector: $\sum \Delta\eta > 2.0$

A factor of 10 more events are observed in data than are predicted from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ jets, estimated by Pythia or comparison to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ studies.



Importance of Forward Neutrons: *0n0n* Events

ATLAS has observed inclusive jet production in UPCs without nuclear breakup (0n0n).

Gaps are required on both sides of the detector: $\sum \Delta\eta > 2.0$

A factor of 10 more events are observed in data than are predicted from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ jets, estimated by Pythia or comparison to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ studies.

The distribution shapes are clearly different from pure $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ jets.





Importance of Forward Neutrons: *0n0n* Events

ATLAS has observed inclusive jet production in UPCs without nuclear breakup (0n0n).

Gaps are required on both sides of the detector: $\sum \Delta\eta > 2.0$

A factor of 10 more events are observed in data than are predicted from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ jets, estimated by Pythia or comparison to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ studies.

The distribution shapes are clearly different from pure $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ jets.



Constructing the Cross-Section

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{dH_T dx_A dz_\gamma} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\Delta Y}{\Delta H_T \Delta x_A \Delta z_\gamma}$$

Event Selections → **Raw Yields** → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results



$$H_T = \sum_i p_T^i$$

$$x_A = \frac{M_{jets} e^{-y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

$$z_\gamma = \frac{M_{jets} e^{+y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$



Constructing the Cross-Section

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{dH_T dx_A dz_\gamma} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\Delta Y}{\Delta H_T \Delta x_A \Delta z_\gamma}$$

Event Selections → **Raw Yields** → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results

H_T does not depend strongly on x_A or z_γ.



$$H_T = \sum_i p_T^i$$

$$x_A = \frac{M_{jets} e^{-y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

$$z_\gamma = \frac{M_{jets} e^{+y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

Acceptance in x_A is strongly dependent on the photon energy, z_γ.



Unfolding Measured Cross-Sections

Event Selections → Raw Yields → **Unfolding** → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results

$$H_T = \sum_i p_T^i \qquad x_A = \frac{M_{jets} e^{-y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}} \qquad z_\gamma = \frac{M_{jets} e^{+y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

The measured cross-sections are then unfolded in 3 dimensions to correct for detector effects.

- Low-p_T flavor effects are the largest correction.



Unfolded / Measured



Systematic Uncertainties

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → **Systematic Uncertainty** → Final Results

Systematic uncertainties are the key limiting factor in our sensitivity to nuclear PDFs.

The jet energy scale and resolution uncertainties are typically 5-10%.

Control over the preliminary low-μ calibration currently provides the dominant source of uncertainty.

Systematic uncertainties are also evaluated on the unfolding and event selections.



Systematic Uncertainties

Event Selections | Raw Yields | Unfolding | **Systematic Uncertainty** | Final Results

Systematic uncertainties are the key limiting factor in our sensitivity to nuclear PDFs.

The jet energy scale and resolution uncertainties are typically 5-10%.

Control over the preliminary low-μ calibration currently provides the dominant source of uncertainty.

Systematic uncertainties are also evaluated on the unfolding and event selections.



The Measured Photon Flux

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → **Final Results**

- The distribution of z_γ values for large x_A in bins of H_T (right) demonstrates the measured photon flux.
  - The breakup model performs well within systematic uncertainties.
  - Disagreements appear to arise at low z_γ, where the breakup model tends to over-correct.



Scanning in Photon Energy

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → **Final Results**

$H_T = \sum_i p_T^i$ $x_A = \frac{M_{jets} e^{-y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$ $z_\gamma = \frac{M_{jets} e^{+y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$



The x_A distribution has substantial acceptance effects in z_γ.

Selecting on photon energy removes this bias, allowing a more direct measurement of nPDFs.



Scanning in Photon Energy

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → **Final Results**

$$H_T = \sum_i p_T^i \qquad x_A = \frac{M_{jets} e^{-y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}} \qquad z_\gamma = \frac{M_{jets} e^{+y_{jets}}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

The x_A distribution has substantial acceptance effects in z_γ.

Selecting on photon energy removes this bias, allowing a more direct measurement of nPDFs.





Measured Cross-Sections

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → Final Results

- At intermediate photon energies, we can access higher-x partons.



Photon Energy

$0.004 < z_\gamma < 0.008$



Measured Cross-Sections

Event Selections | Raw Yields | Unfolding | Systematic Uncertainty | **Final Results**

- Higher photon energy opens up the low-x shadowing region.
- Results are quite consistent with the theoretical model.



Photon Energy

$0.008 < z_\gamma < 0.015$





Measured Cross-Sections

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → **Final Results**

- The highest photon energy allows the most access to low x.
- Systematic control is more challenging near acceptance edges.



Photon Energy

0.015 < z_γ < 0.027



Conclusions and Next Steps

- Photo-nuclear jet production was measured by ATLAS in 5.02 TeV Pb+Pb collisions with 2018 data.
    - Particle-Flow jets allow for the measurement to be extended even lower in jet p_T while maintaining systematic control.
    - This measurement has been fully unfolded for detector response for the first time.
- The overall normalization of the cross-section is well-predicted by theoretical comparisons.
    - A theoretical model of nuclear breakup is necessary to understand the total cross-section.
- This study is sensitive to nuclear PDF effects with a precision of up to 10% in some bins.
    - Once final studies of low-μ jet response in ATLAS can be completed, substantial gains in systematic control are possible.
- These results are connected to early physics goals for the EIC.



Backup

Theoretical Modeling of Nuclear Breakup

- The photon flux available through Pythia makes certain overly-simplified assumptions which we correct via modeling with STARlight.

We integrate over A-A impact parameter (b) and the impact parameter relative to the photon-emitting nucleus (s_A).

Correction for the probability of breakup due to additional EM interactions

Nuclear thickness function

$$F^{\text{eff}}_{\gamma/A}(E_\gamma) \equiv \int \underline{\mathrm{d}^2 b \mathrm{d}^2 s_A} \, \underline{P_{\text{no had}}(b)} \, \underline{P_{\text{no EM}}(b)} \, \underline{f_{\gamma/A}(E_\gamma, s)} \, \underline{T_B(\vec{s}_A - \vec{b})}$$

Correction for the probability of breakup due to hadronic interactions (overlap veto)

The photon flux from Pythia uses a point source, so this term corrects for coherent nuclear emission.

Measured Cross-Sections

- At intermediate photon energies, we can access higher-x partons.



Photon Energy

$0.004 < z_\gamma < 0.008$



Measured Cross-Sections

Event Selections → Raw Yields → Unfolding → Systematic Uncertainty → **Final Results**

- Higher photon energy opens up the low-x shadowing region.
- Results are quite consistent with the theoretical model.



Photon Energy

$0.008 < z_\gamma < 0.015$



Measured Cross-Sections

Event Selections | Raw Yields | Unfolding | Systematic Uncertainty | **Final Results**

- The highest photon energy allows the most access to low x.
- Systematic control is more challenging near acceptance edges.



Photon Energy

$0.015 < z_\gamma < 0.027$